Parafoveal Nonperfusion Analysis in Diabetic Retinopathy Using Optical Coherence Tomography Angiography
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Introduction

Macular nonperfusion is a critical feature of diabetic retinopathy (DR) that can lead to significant visual impairment.1–11 Microvascular dysfunction in DR causes hypoperfusion and retinal hypoxia,12–18 and at the macula it threatens the tissue responsible for central visual acuity. While macular nonperfusion has been shown to be a risk factor for progression to more advanced disease,19 diabetic patients with poor macular perfusion are often asymptomatic until the later stages when vision loss can be acute and severe.1 Additionally, the presence of significant macular nonperfusion can limit the benefits of treatment.19–22 Therefore, identification and quantification of these microvascular changes is essential to assess disease severity and guide optimal management to prevent vision loss.

Intravenous fluorescein angiography (IVFA) is a frequently used imaging modality to evaluate nonperfusion in DR.23–28 Many efforts to quantify macular nonperfusion using IVFA have focused on
the foveal avascular zone (FAZ), demonstrating enlargement and irregularity of this region in DR that suggest capillary dropout.\(^{23–26,29,30}\) IVFA has also been used to measure parafoveal intercapillary areas (PICAs), showing PICA enlargement in DR.\(^{10,24,29–32}\) However, the majority of these methods have been manual and labor-intensive, and they only provide a global index of nonperfusion. Additionally, IVFA is limited in its image quality and resolution of the fine foveal vasculature.\(^{30,33–35}\) can obscure capillary details secondary to dye leakage,\(^{36,37}\) and is an invasive procedure that is associated with a variety of side effects.\(^{38–40}\)

Newer efforts to visualize the macular blood vessels and quantify nonperfusion have been successfully demonstrated using optical coherence tomography angiography (OCTA),\(^{36,41–50}\) a modality that utilizes motion contrast to generate perfusion maps, obviating the need for extrinsic dye injection. Recently, automatic computation of vascular perfusion density at the macula using OCTA has shown promise as a way to quickly evaluate perfusion status in DR.\(^{43,49,50}\) While this metric can measure nonperfusion globally, identification of focal defects remains difficult. Individual variations in major blood vessel patterns and FAZ size that affect perfusion density values at changing retinal eccentricities have made the creation of a reference database for focal detection of perfusion abnormalities elusive.

Few studies have utilized OCTA to measure PICAs as a method to evaluate macular nonperfusion,\(^{46–48}\) with limited quantification techniques that focus on global nonperfusion analysis. In this study, we used OCTA to measure PICAs and quantify areas of nonperfusion in both healthy controls and diabetics, employing a unique method that was both automatic and retinal eccentricity–specific based on their creation of a reference database. We demonstrated how this non-invasive technique can not only detect global nonperfusion, but can also localize focal defects.

## Methods

### Subjects

This cross-sectional study was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Boards at both the New York Eye and Ear Infirmary of Mount Sinai and the Medical College of Wisconsin. For this study, we recruited 19 control subjects with no history of intraocular pathology or major systemic vascular disease. We also recruited 45 diabetic subjects with varying levels of retinopathy: 15 with no clinically observable diabetic retinopathy (noDR), 15 with nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy (NPDR), and 15 with proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR). Patient diagnoses and previous treatment status were determined by thorough chart review. Inclusion criteria were as follows: normal anterior segment, clear natural lens, clear media, and best corrected visual acuity better than 20/80. Exclusion criteria included nuclear, cortical, or posterior subcapsular cataracts ≥ grade 3 according to the Lens Opacity Classification System III,\(^{51}\) prior refractive surgery; active macular edema; concomitant retinal pathology such as venous or arterial occlusions; and systemic vascular conditions such as sickle cell disease, HIV, or uncontrolled hypertension. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects following discussion of the study methodology and associated risks and benefits. In subjects where both eyes fit the inclusion and exclusion criteria, a single eye was selected at random for imaging. In order to correct for individual retinal magnification, axial lengths were measured using an IOL Master (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc., Dublin, CA).\(^{52}\) Wide-field color fundus photography (Topcon 3D OCT 2000, Topcon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) was performed on all NPDR subjects on the day of OCTA imaging. An in-house retina specialist (RBR) classified the NPDR eyes into mild, moderate, and severe disease according to the Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) system\(^{23,53}\) by analyzing the color fundus photographs in conjunction with corresponding IVFA images if available within 6 months of image acquisition.

### OCTA and En Face OCT Reflectance Image Acquisition

All subjects were imaged using a commercial spectral domain OCT system (Avanti RTVue-XR; AngioVue version 2015.100.0.35; Optovue, Fremont, CA). Ten sequential 10×10° (~3x3 mm) macular scans were obtained in each subject,\(^{49,54}\) and OCTA images were generated from the split-spectrum amplitude decorrelation angiography (SSADA) algorithm.\(^{49,55,56}\) The retinal blood vessels were automatically separated into the superficial and deep layers for each scan. The superficial OCTA images included blood vessels from the inner limiting membrane.
(ILM) to 15 μm below the posterior boundary of the inner plexiform layer (IPL), whereas the deep OCTA images included blood vessels from 15 μm below the posterior boundary of the IPL to 70 μm below the posterior boundary of the IPL (Figs. 1A and 1B). Corresponding en face OCT reflectance images were also generated using the mean projection of the reflectance signal (Figs. 1C and 1D).

Figure 1. (A-D) Single-frame and (E-H) averaged OCTA and en face OCT reflectance images in a control subject. Averaging minimizes motion artifacts and provides clearer delineation of individual capillaries on both OCTA and en face OCT reflectance images.

Image Processing

Image Registration and Averaging

In order to increase the signal-to-noise ratio and eliminate artifactual discontinuities in blood vessel segments that are often present on single-frame images,\textsuperscript{57,58} we created averaged images for better segmentation and more accurate delineation of the FAZ and PICAs. For each set of 10 superficial OCTA images, a reference image with the highest contrast and least motion artifact was selected manually by an expert observer (BDK). The remaining superficial OCTA images were registered to this reference image using the Register Virtual Stack Slices plug-in on ImageJ (ImageJ, U.S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD);\textsuperscript{59} we employed a rigid extraction model with elastic bUnwarpJ splines registration.\textsuperscript{60} These same transformations were applied to the sets of deep OCTA, superficial en face OCT reflectance, and deep en face OCT reflectance images using the Transform Virtual Stack Slices plug-in on ImageJ, which were then averaged as well (Figs. 1E–1H). Differences between single and averaged images are demonstrated in Figure 1.

Superficial OCTA Image Processing and Data Extraction

Thresholding. Using custom software on MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, MA), the averaged superficial OCTA images were contrast stretched (Fig. 2A), and local thresholding was performed to convert the contrast-stretched grayscale OCTA images into binary images (Fig. 2B).\textsuperscript{61} Only the threshold superficial OCTA images were used for FAZ and PICA analysis, as the deep layer is subject to projection artifacts that inhibit accurate blood vessel analysis.\textsuperscript{58}

FAZ and PICA Measurement and Creation of Reference Database. On the threshold superficial OCTA images, the FAZ and all surrounding PICAs were automatically delineated using MATLAB (MathWorks) (Fig. 2C). FAZ area, perimeter, and acircularity index were computed using MATLAB's (MathWorks) regionprops function. Acircularity index was defined as the ratio of the perimeter of the FAZ to the perimeter of a circle with equal area.\textsuperscript{62,63}

For each individual PICA, area, minor axis, and
centroid location were computed using MATLAB’s regionprops function. The minor axis was extracted from a best-fit ellipse generated from second order moments, and the centroid was defined as the center of mass.\textsuperscript{64} Distance transformation was employed to create 12 consecutive equal distance annuli of increasing retinal eccentricity from the FAZ margin with a 100-\(\mu\)m step size using distance transformation. Green lines represent 300-\(\mu\)m and 1000-\(\mu\)m ROIs from the FAZ margin, which is labeled as 0-\(\mu\)m for reference.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure2.png}
\caption{(A) Averaged superficial OCTA, (B) thresholded image, (C) automatic segmentation of FAZ (black region) and PICAs (green regions), and (D) creation of equal distance annuli of increasing retinal eccentricity from the FAZ margin with a 100-\(\mu\)m step size using distance transformation. Green lines represent 300-\(\mu\)m and 1000-\(\mu\)m ROIs from the FAZ margin, which is labeled as 0-\(\mu\)m for reference.}
\end{figure}

\section*{SD Categorization of PICA and SD Mapping}

For every subject within each of the four groups (control, noDR, NPDR, and PDR), each PICA delineated on the threshold superficial OCTA image was compared to the mean PICA area and minor axis of the reference database. PICAs were classified as non-perfused areas if they were \(\geq 2\) SDs above the mean area and minor axis at the corresponding annuli, and they were further grouped according to specific size: 2 to 3.9 SDs (cyan), 4 to 7.9 SDs (yellow), or \(\geq 8\) SDs (red) compared to the reference means. This color coding system was overlaid upon the averaged superficial OCTA image to create a SD map for each subject (Fig. 3, bottom row). For qualitative comparison, a color-coded vascular perfusion density map was generated from the thresholded image using an algorithm previously described (Fig. e3, top row).\textsuperscript{61,65}

\section*{Calculation of Percent Nonperfused Area}

On the threshold superficial OCTA images, two regions of interest (ROI) were analyzed. The ROIs were defined as concentric rings with the inner border at the FAZ margin and the outer border at 300 \(\mu\)m and 1000 \(\mu\)m from the FAZ, respectively (Fig. 4). For each ROI, percent nonperfused area was calculated as the summed nonperfused areas divided by the total area of the ROI.

\section*{Identification of Intermittent Flow}

After image registration, the single-frame superficial OCTA images were examined in sequence to identify intermittent flow. Intermittent flow was defined as the presence of a perfused blood vessel that was present on some but not all frames.

\section*{Superficial En Face OCT Reflectance Image Processing}

\subsection*{Identification of Nonperfused Blood Vessel Segments}

The en face OCT reflectance images can provide additional information about blood vessel structure. Examining these images alongside the OCTA images can help identify nonperfused capillaries.\textsuperscript{66} Each averaged, contrast-inverted superficial en face OCT reflectance image was overlaid on the corresponding averaged OCTA image using Adobe Photoshop CS6 (Adobe Systems, Inc., San Jose, CA). A nonperfused blood vessel segment was identified as a hyporeflective cord-like structure on the superficial en face OCT reflectance image that did not enhance on either the superficial or deep OCTA images.\textsuperscript{66} An expert grader (BDK) counted the number of nonperfused blood vessel segments for each subject. For simplification, the regions where blood vessels were counted for data analysis included only the FAZ on the superficial OCTA image and the intercapillary areas contiguous with the FAZ.

\section*{Statistical Analysis}

Given that not all subgroups met the Anderson-Darling test for normality, values for FAZ metrics, percent nonperfused area, and number of nonperfused blood vessel segments identified on the superficial en face OCT reflectance images were compared...
between groups using the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis and post-hoc Mann-Whitney U tests with the Holm-Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. For the reference PICA data from the controls, 95% confidence intervals were generated for area and minor axis at each 100-μm width annulus. The diagnostic capability of percent nonperfused area to differentiate between eyes with diabetic retinopathy (NPDR + PDR) and eyes without diabetic retinopathy (control + noDR) was assessed using area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AROC), sensitivity at 95% specificity, and specificity at 95% sensitivity. Finally, the $\chi^2$ test with the Marascuilo procedure was used to compare the percentage of subjects within each group that had at least one nonperfused blood vessel segment identified on the superficial en face reflectance images. Statistical analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA) and R (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

**FAZ Metrics**

Boxplots of FAZ metrics are shown in Figure 5 with corresponding P-values between groups in Table...
Median and mean values are displayed in Supplementary Table S1. FAZ area was significantly greater in the NPDR and PDR groups compared to the control group. FAZ perimeter was significantly greater in the PDR group compared to the control and noDR groups, and it was also greater in the NPDR group compared to the control group. Acircularity index was significantly greater in the PDR group compared to the control and noDR groups. There were no significant differences observed between the control and noDR groups.

### PICA Metrics

Mean values for area and minor axis of the PICAs in the control group at increasing retinal eccentricities are displayed in Figure 6 with corresponding 95% confidence intervals. Mean area and minor axis at 100 μm from the FAZ margin were 5879 ± 56.0 μm² and 55.0 ± 8.2 μm, respectively. As expected, these values decreased with increasing annular distance, plateauing at approximately 600 μm from the FAZ margin.

### Percent Nonperfused Area

Median values ± interquartile range (IQR) for total percent nonperfused area (≥2 SDs) at the 300-μm ROI were 2.09 ± 4.42, 2.44 ± 4.75, 18.08 ± 17.27, and 27.55 ± 20.28 in the control, noDR, NPDR, and PDR groups, respectively. Median ± IQR at the 1000-μm ROI were 3.10 ± 2.26, 3.31 ± 3.74, 13.42 ± 12.76, and 23.00 ± 11.39. Histograms of total percent nonperfused area as well as cyan (2–3.9 SDs), yellow (4–7.9 SDs), and red (≥8 SDs) areas are shown in Figure 7, with corresponding P-values between groups in Table 2. Median and mean values are displayed in Supplementary Table S1. While there were no significant differences observed between the control and noDR groups, there was significantly greater percent nonperfused area in the NPDR and PDR groups versus the control and noDR groups for all other comparisons. Additionally, values were significantly greater in the PDR group compared to the NPDR group for the total percent nonperfused area and yellow area in the 300-μm ROI, and for the total percent nonperfused area, yellow area, and red area in the 1000-μm ROI.

The results of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses for percent nonperfused areas are displayed in Table 3. In distinguishing between eyes with diabetic retinopathy and eyes without diabetic retinopathy, the red (≥8 SDs) area at the 1000-μm ROI yielded the greatest AROC (0.99), sensitivity at 95% specificity (93.3%), and specificity at 95% sensitivity (94.1%).

### Identification of Intermittent Flow

Intermittent flow was identified in 4 eyes. All of these eyes had PDR (see Supplementary Video S1 showing registered superficial OCTA images in sequence in an eye with PDR, with arrows indicating blood vessels that enhance on some but not all of the single-frame OCTA images). There was no intermittent flow detected in the control, noDR, or NPDR groups.

### Identification of Nonperfused Blood Vessel Segments

The median ± IQR of nonperfused blood vessel segments identified on the superficial en face OCT reflectance images was 0.0 ± 1.0, 0.0 ± 1.0, 4.0 ± 7.0, and 8.0 ± 6.0 for the control, noDR, NPDR, and PDR groups, respectively. Mean ± SD was 0.63 ± 1.01, 0.73 ± 0.96, 4.73 ± 4.57, and 10.13 ± 9.31. Significant differences were observed for all comparisons except between the control and noDR groups (Table 2). The percentage of eyes having at least one identified nonperfused segment was 42% in the control group, 47% in the noDR group, 93% in the NPDR group, and 100% in the PDR group. Using the

---

2. Median and mean values are displayed in Supplementary Table S1.
Discussion

Macular nonperfusion is an important cause of visual loss in patients with DR, and it has been shown to be a risk factor for progression to more advanced disease.\textsuperscript{1–11,19} Hence, there is an ostensible need for a technique to reliably quantify these microvascular changes. We have demonstrated a unique image processing and mapping technique that can measure parafoveal nonperfusion, showing promise as an approach to assess disease.

The study’s results for FAZ metrics measured in the control and diabetic groups were within the ranges of values reported in previous studies on FAZ geometry.\textsuperscript{24,26,29,42,43,45,63} Although these metrics generally showed stepwise increases in values with worsening retinopathy, the study’s findings of few significant differences between groups confirmed the existence of substantial variability in the FAZ for both controls and diabetics, and the difficulty of using these metrics alone to separate different stages of disease.

P IC A s a r e k n o w n t o d e c r e a s e i n s i z e w i t h i n c r e a s i n g e c c e n t r i c i t y f r o m t h e F A Z i n h e a l t h y r e t i n a s ,\textsuperscript{67} and the study’s findings of PICA area and minor axis (Fig. 6) corroborated this finding. This observation supports the notion that with increasing inner retinal thickness further away from the foveal center, there are more vascular branches in a 3-dimensional configuration to effectively nourish larger volumes of tissue.\textsuperscript{68–71} As a result, it was critical to make the database retinal eccentricity–specific. Overall, the study’s area values for normal P IC A s w e r e c o n s i s t e n t w i t h t h o s e r e p o r t e d p r e v i o u s l y .\textsuperscript{29,30,67,72,73} Additionally, the study’s mean minor axis values agreed with earlier reports that demonstrate the optimal intercapillary distance to supply the surrounding retinal ganglion cells and axons.\textsuperscript{68,71,74,75} Specifically, the mean minor axis measured at the 100-μm annulus should closely reflect the maximal intercapillary distance for optimal diffusion, as the superficial capillary network directly bordering the FAZ is single-layered.\textsuperscript{71,76,77} The study’s value of 56-μm closely aligns with previous work suggesting that this value is around 60-μm.\textsuperscript{68} This is one reason why
we included minor axis in combination with area as a metric to separate normal from abnormally large PICAs, as area alone cannot accurately separate healthy retina from ischemic regions, especially for slender and elongated PICAs with above-mean PICA area that still maintain acceptable intercapillary distances for nutrient diffusion. These elongated PICAs are especially prominent adjacent to retinal arteries, known as periarteriolar capillary free zones.78,79 Hence, minor axis can be used as an adjunct to area when categorizing PICA size.

Prior studies have used OCTA to quantify perfusion deficits in DR by measuring perfusion density.43,49,50 However, this metric is limited in its ability to detect finite areas of abnormal perfusion due to the difficulties of creating a reference database. Aside from the increase in perfusion density at greater distances from the FAZ, the obstacles to creating such a database stem from variations in major blood vessel patterns in normal individuals, which make it challenging to define a reference perfusion density value at a particular location in the macula. Newer approaches have quantified these changes at the macula by measuring an aggregate nonperfused area, showing overall enlargement in DR when compared to controls.43,46,47,80 This type of analysis represents the response to treatment. Of particular interest are PICAs that may suggest focal ischemia; Schottenhamml et al. demarcated all PICAs in diabetics and controls using a custom automatic algorithm, demonstrating a stepwise increase in the mean of the 10 and 20 largest PICAs with worsening severity of retinopathy.88 Their findings illustrate the potential for classifying individual PICAs based on size. We have expanded upon this idea by categorizing PICAs according to SD thresholds and highlighting regions with nonperfusion. The study’s results showing an increase in percent nonperfused area from subjects without retinopathy (control + noDR) to NPDR and finally PDR, with significant differences observed between nearly all groups for all comparisons, suggest that this method can be used to accurately quantify global nonperfusion using different thresholds to help assess disease severity. Additionally, AROC values for percent nonperfused area demonstrated very good (≥0.8)81 diagnostic accuracy for all thresholds when differentiating between eyes with and without diabetic retinopathy. The red (≥8 SDs) area at the 1000-μm ROI demonstrated the greatest AROC, sensitivity at 95% specificity, and specificity at 95% sensitivity, suggesting that measuring larger areas may exhibit greater capability to distinguish between eyes with and without disease. The combination of numerical and visual information that can measure global nonperfusion as well as identify focal defects can be helpful in clinical practice and may be especially useful when monitoring disease progression or response to treatment. Of particular interest are

| Table 2. P-Values Between Groups for FAZ Metrics, Percent Nonperfused Area, and Number of Identified Nonperfused Blood Vessel Segments |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| FAZ metrics                     | Control v. noDR | Control v. NPDR | Control v. PDR  | noDR v. NPDR    | noDR v. PDR     | NPDR v. PDR     |
| Area                            | 0.08            | 0.03*           | 0.003*          | 0.7             | 0.06            | 0.08            |
| Perimeter                       | 0.08            | 0.003*          | 2×10⁻⁶*         | 0.1             | 0.003*          | 0.08            |
| Acircularity index              | 0.8             | 0.2             | 0.0003*         | 0.3             | 0.002*          | 0.3             |
| Nonperfused area, 300-μm ROI    | ≥2 SD           | 0.6             | 7×10⁻⁵*         | 7×10⁻⁶*         | 0.0001*         | 2×10⁻⁵*         | 0.04*           |
|                                 | ≥8 SD           | 0.7             | 4×10⁻⁵*         | 2×10⁻⁶*         | 6×10⁻⁵*         | 6×10⁻⁶*         | 0.06            |
| Nonperfused area, 1000-μm ROI   | ≥2 SD           | 0.6             | 2×10⁻⁶*         | 7×10⁻⁹*         | 6×10⁻⁵*         | 6×10⁻⁸*         | 0.01*           |
|                                 | ≥8 SD           | 0.6             | 5×10⁻⁵*         | 3×10⁻⁸*         | 0.0007*         | 6×10⁻⁸*         | 0.002*          |
| Nonperfused blood vessel segments | 0.7             | 0.002*          | 7×10⁻⁶*         | 0.007*          | 4×10⁻⁵*         | 0.04*           |

* Indicates P < 0.05.
longitudinal studies showing changes over time in percent nonperfused area as well as in size of discrete PICAs.

The study’s OCTA image averaging technique, first described by Mo et al., offers several advantages. It increases the signal-to-noise ratio, helping remove motion artifacts and false discontinuities in blood vessels from single-frame OCTA images, enabling automatic and reliable segmentation of the
### Table 3. ROC Curve Analyses of Percent Nonperfused Area Between Eyes With Diabetic Retinopathy (NPDR + PDR) and Eyes Without Diabetic Retinopathy (Control + noDR). 95% Confidence Intervals Are Included in Parentheses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area Under Curve</th>
<th>Sensitivity (at 95% Specificity)</th>
<th>Specificity (at 95% Sensitivity)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300-μm ROI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2 SD</td>
<td>0.97 (0.93–1)</td>
<td>86.7 (60.0–96.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 to 3.9 SD</td>
<td>0.81 (0.70–0.91)</td>
<td>43.3 (0.0–70.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 to 7.9 SD</td>
<td>0.86 (0.77–0.95)</td>
<td>60.0 (40.0–76.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥8 SD</td>
<td>0.95 (0.90–1)</td>
<td>86.7 (63.3–100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000-μm ROI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2 SD</td>
<td>0.97 (0.93–1)</td>
<td>86.7 (70.0–96.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 to 3.9 SD</td>
<td>0.92 (0.85–0.99)</td>
<td>66.7 (0.0–90.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 to 7.9 SD</td>
<td>0.95 (0.89–1)</td>
<td>80.0 (53.3–96.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥8 SD</td>
<td>0.99 (0.98–1)</td>
<td>93.3 (83.3–100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 8.** Identification of nonperfused blood vessel segments in a subject with NPDR. (A) averaged and contrast-inverted superficial en face OCT reflectance image with corresponding averaged superficial OCTA image (B). (C and D) averaged superficial en face OCT reflectance and superficial OCTA images with nonperfused blood vessel segments demarcated in red; these segments appear as hyporeflective cord-like structures on the OCT reflectance image but do not enhance on the OCTA image. (E and F) Corresponding perfusion density map and SD map of nonperfused areas. Locations with lower perfusion density and nonperfused areas correspond to the locations of nonperfused blood vessels.
FAZ and surrounding PICAs. Additionally, coregistration of the en face OCT reflectance images provides additional information about vascular structure. Figure 8 demonstrates the ability to localize blood vessels that are present on the superficial en face OCT reflectance images but absent from the OCTA images, which likely represent nonperfused capillaries. The locations of nonperfused segments correspond well to the nonperfused areas in this subject. The presence of intact vascular structure on the en face OCT reflectance image that does not enhance on OCTA suggests that these vessels may have the potential to recanalize, which can help predict the potential benefits of interventions to improve macular perfusion and monitor the response on a structural level. Furthermore, the ability to identify blood vessels with intermittent flow (Supplementary Video S1) while viewing single-frame OCTA images in sequence offers another method for detecting focal blood vessel defects. It is possible that these vessels undergoing transient occlusion of their lumens are at higher risk for complete occlusion.

We were unable to show differences between the control and noDR groups for any comparisons. However, both control and noDR subjects exhibited some nonperfused areas (Fig. 3). While these findings may simply be due to normal variation, larger (i.e., ≥8 SDs) areas may indicate subclinical ischemia. Perhaps these regions should be monitored closely for progression, even in patients with no discernible vascular disease, as areas with capillary occlusion may be susceptible to further dropout. The fact that several control subjects (42%) exhibited nonperfused capillaries on en face OCT reflectance images suggests that there are changes in vascular perfusion that occur even in healthy eyes.

There are several limitations to this study. While we have referred to the cord-like structures on en face OCT reflectance images that do not enhance on OCTA as nonperfused blood vessel segments, these segments may actually reflect slow blood flow rather than complete occlusion.
than total obstruction.\textsuperscript{5,9,29,30,86–88} Given that the detection velocity threshold on OCTA is 0.3 mm/s,\textsuperscript{89} this modality has the potential to ignore blood vessels with very slow flow. Regardless of the exact processes at play, capillary occlusion and slow flow all indicate hypoperfusion and put the retina at risk for ischemic damage, and identification of these regions is critical. Additionally, if intermittent flow occurs at the FAZ margin, the FAZ margin and the subsequent annulus locations for PICA distribution can change, making it possible for a single eye to have different appearances of the SD maps at consecutive time points (Fig. 9). Other limitations include small sample size and strict inclusion criteria. We also only studied the superficial capillary layer, as accurate analysis of the deep layer is limited by projection artifacts.\textsuperscript{58} As such, it is difficult to generalize the study’s results to the deeper capillary layers.

In summary, we have demonstrated a novel technique to quantify parafoveal nonperfusion in DR that is noninvasive, automatic, and retinal eccentricity–specific. It provides comprehensive analysis of parafoveal nonperfusion as well as information about individual defects. This method can help assess disease severity and shows promise as an approach to detect progression and treatment response over time at both the global and focal level.
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