

FMRI Neurologic Synchrony Measures for Alzheimer's Patients With Monte Carlo Critical Values

Daniel B. Rowe

Division of Biostatistics
Medical College of Wisconsin

Technical Report 41

January 2003

Division of Biostatistics
Medical College of Wisconsin
8701 Watertown Plank Road
Milwaukee, WI 53226
Phone: (414) 456-8280



FMRI Neurologic Synchrony Measures for Alzheimer's Patients With Monte Carlo Critical Values

Daniel B. Rowe
Medical College of Wisconsin
8701 Watertown Plank Rd.
Milwaukee, WI 53226
dbrowe@mcw.edu

Abstract

It is well known that regions of the brain exhibit functional synchrony. A well established method is the average cross correlation termed the COSLOF index which has proved useful as a noninvasive quantitative marker of hippocampal synchrony for the preclinical stage of Alzheimer's disease. This paper presents the COMDET, an alternative index of functional synchrony, and compares it to the COSLOF with their statistical underpinnings. Logarithmic functions of these two statistics are presented with their asymptotic chi squared distributions. These two statistics are empirically compared under five correlation structures. It is found that the COMDET performs better than the COSLOF except under very specific cases. Critical values of the COMDET and COSLOF as well as their logarithmic functions are presented which are determined via Monte Carlo simulation.

1 Introduction

The well-known phenomenon of functional synchrony [3, 6] in functional magnetic resonance imaging (FMRI) studies has been characterized by cross-correlations of resting state voxels. The average of the cross-correlations termed the coefficients of spontaneous low frequency fluctuations (COSLOF) index [7] when applied to the hippocampus has been used as a preclinical marker for Alzheimer's disease. The COSLOF index in a set of voxels yields a measure of functional synchrony. It is known that the hippocampus is responsible for the storage of declarative (factual) memory and it has been shown that functional synchrony is reduced in Alzheimer's disease patients compared to normals [7]. In this paper, the correlation matrix determinant (COMDET) statistic is proposed as an alternative to the COSLOF index and both of their

statistical underpinnings are presented. These two measures of functional synchrony are compared in simulations to determine their power of detection. For several correlation structures, the number of times that the each measure rejects the null hypothesis of no synchrony is determined. Critical values of the COMDET and COSLOF are determined via Monte Carlo simulation.

2 Regression Model

The standard multivariate linear regression model [10] is used to describe the voxel time courses. The model, for a set of p voxels measured at n time points, is written as

$$\begin{matrix} Y & = & X & B & + & E \\ n \times p & & n \times (q+1) & (q+1) \times p & & n \times p \end{matrix} \quad (2.1)$$

where Y is the matrix of observed time courses, X is the design matrix, B is the matrix of regression coefficients, and E is the matrix of errors. The errors of observation ϵ_i (which are rows of E) are assumed to be independent and normally distributed with p dimensional zero mean vector and $p \times p$ positive definite covariance matrix Σ . With the above model specifications, the likelihood of the observations is

$$p(Y|B, \Sigma, X) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{np}{2}} |\Sigma|^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}tr\Sigma^{-1}(Y-XB)'(Y-XB)}. \quad (2.2)$$

It can be shown [10] that the unrestricted maximum likelihood estimate of the matrix of regression coefficients \hat{B} is

$$\hat{B} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y,$$

and that the unrestricted maximum likelihood estimate of the covariance matrix is

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n}(Y - X\hat{B})'(Y - X\hat{B}).$$

Also, denote the unrestricted maximum likelihood estimate of the cross-correlation matrix as

$$\hat{R} = \hat{D}^{-1/2} \hat{\Sigma} \hat{D}^{-1/2}$$

with jj' th element given by $\hat{\rho}_{jj'}$ where $\hat{D} = \text{diag}(\hat{\Sigma})$ is a diagonal matrix formed from the main diagonal of $\hat{\Sigma}$.

The spatial relationship between voxels can be anywhere from statistically independent with a diagonal covariance matrix to a full positive definite covariance matrix. Hypotheses regarding spatial structure are to be evaluated

with the use of the generalized likelihood ratio test. The generalized likelihood ratio statistic is computed by maximizing the likelihood with respect to the unknown parameters under the null and alternative hypotheses. These estimated parameters are inserted into their respective likelihood functions and the ratio taken.

3 COSLOF

Often structure in a covariance matrix can aid in simplifying the spatial relationship between the voxels. One such spatial relationship is the intraclass correlation structure. The intraclass correlation structure is when the correlation matrix R has ones along the main diagonal and all other elements have the correlation parameter ρ .

The null hypothesis that the voxels are unequal variance intraclass with known correlation parameter ρ_0 (independent when $\rho_0=0$) versus the alternative hypothesis that the voxels are unequal variance intraclass with unknown correlation parameter $\rho \neq \rho_0$ is

$$H_0 : \begin{array}{l} B \in \mathbb{R}^{(q+1) \times p} \\ \text{diag}(D) = \mathbb{R}^{p+} \\ R = R_0 \end{array} \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1 : \begin{array}{l} B \in \mathbb{R}^{(q+1) \times p} \\ \text{diag}(D) = \mathbb{R}^{p+} \\ R \neq R_0 \end{array}$$

where R_0 is the intraclass correlation matrix with ρ_0 for the off diagonal elements.

The generalized likelihood ratio statistic is computed by maximizing the likelihood $p(Y|B, D, \rho, X)$ with respect to (B, Σ) under the two hypotheses to obtain null and alternative estimates $(\tilde{B}, \tilde{D}, \rho_0)$ and $(\hat{B}, \hat{D}, \hat{\rho})$.

The likelihood in Equation 2.2 can be written as

$$p(Y|B, \Sigma, X) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{np}{2}} |D|^{-\frac{n}{2}} |R|^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} D^{-\frac{1}{2}} R^{-1} D^{-\frac{1}{2}} (Y - XB)' (Y - XB)}.$$

Using the two intraclass matrix identities

$$|R| = (1 - \rho)^{p-1} [1 + (p - 1)\rho] \quad R^{-1} = \frac{I_p}{(1 - \rho)} - \frac{\rho e_p e_p'}{(1 - \rho)[1 + (p - 1)\rho]}$$

where e_p is a p -dimensional vector of ones and taking the natural logarithm, the log likelihood can be written as

$$LL = -\frac{np}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p \ln \sigma_j^2 - \frac{n(p-1)}{2} \ln(1 - \rho) - \frac{n}{2} \ln[1 + (p - 1)\rho] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p (\sigma_j^2)^{-1} G_{jj} \left[\frac{1}{(1-\rho)} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho}{(1-\rho)[1+(p-1)\rho]} \left[\sum_{j'=1}^p (\sigma_j^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j'=1}^p G_{jj'} (\sigma_{j'}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right].$$

In the above, $G = (Y - XB)'(Y - XB)$ was defined and the property $tr(ABC) = tr(B'A'C')$ was used.

It can be shown that estimates of B , D , and ρ under the two hypotheses are

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{B} &= (X'X)^{-1}X'Y, & \tilde{D} &= \frac{1}{1-\rho_0} \left[1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1+(p-1)\rho_0} \right] \text{diag} \frac{\tilde{G}}{n}, & \tilde{\rho} &= \rho_0 \\ \hat{B} &= (X'X)^{-1}X'Y, & \hat{D} &= \frac{1}{1-\hat{\rho}} \left[1 - \frac{\hat{\rho}}{1+(p-1)\hat{\rho}} \right] \text{diag} \frac{\hat{G}}{n}, & \hat{\rho} &= \bar{\rho}\end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{G} and \hat{G} which are equivalent are G above with \tilde{B} or \hat{B} substituted in for B and

$$\bar{\rho} = \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \left[\sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{j'=1}^p \frac{G_{jj'}}{\sqrt{G_{jj}G_{j'j'}}} - p \right].$$

The maximum likelihood estimate of the variances \hat{D} is an approximate value. The maximum likelihood estimate of the correlation coefficient under the alternative hypothesis has been well approximated by its method of moments estimate because an explicit expression can not be found.

The generalized likelihood ratio statistic for the above hypothesis test is

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &= \frac{p(Y|\tilde{B}, \tilde{D}, \tilde{\rho}, X)}{p(Y|\hat{B}, \hat{D}, \hat{\rho}, X)} \\ &= \frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{np}{2}} |\tilde{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}tr\tilde{\Sigma}^{-1}(Y-X\tilde{B})(Y-X\tilde{B})'}}{(2\pi)^{-\frac{np}{2}} |\hat{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}tr\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(Y-X\hat{B})(Y-X\hat{B})'}} \\ &= \frac{\left| \frac{1}{1-\rho_0} \left(1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1+(p-1)\rho_0} \right) \text{diag} \frac{\tilde{G}}{n} \right|^{-n/2} |R_0|^{-n/2}}{\left| \frac{1}{1-\hat{\rho}} \left(1 - \frac{\hat{\rho}}{1+(p-1)\hat{\rho}} \right) \text{diag} \frac{\hat{G}}{n} \right|^{-n/2} |\hat{R}|^{-n/2}} \\ &= \frac{(1-\rho_0)^{n/2} [1+(p-1)\hat{\rho}]^{n/2} \left[1 - \frac{\hat{\rho}}{1+(p-1)\hat{\rho}} \right]^{np/2}}{[1+(p-1)\rho_0]^{n/2} \left[1 - \frac{\rho_0}{1+(p-1)\rho_0} \right]^{np/2} (1-\hat{\rho})^{n/2}}\end{aligned}$$

It is readily seen that a test statistic for the hypothesis test should be a function of the COSLOF or average cross correlation coefficient. A natural choice for the test statistic is $\hat{\rho}$. It can be seen that $\hat{\rho}/[1+(p-1)\hat{\rho}]$ is approximately zero then $\hat{\rho}$ can be explicitly solved for from the generalized likelihood ratio. The test statistic $-2\ln \lambda$ can alternatively be used which has an asymptotic χ^2 distribution with one degree of freedom.

4 COMDET

Often it is believed that there is no structure in a covariance matrix or that the spatial relationship between the voxels is general and not independent. The general covariance (correlation) structure is when each element voxel has its own distinct variance and covariance with every other voxel.

The null hypothesis that the voxels are statistically independent versus the alternative hypothesis that the voxels have a general covariance is

$$H_0 : \begin{matrix} B \in \mathbb{R}^{(q+1) \times p} \\ \Sigma = \text{diag}(\Sigma) \end{matrix} \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1 : \begin{matrix} B \in \mathbb{R}^{(q+1) \times p} \\ \Sigma > 0 \end{matrix}$$

that the voxels are independent.

The generalized likelihood ratio statistic is computed by maximizing the likelihood $p(Y|B, \Sigma, X)$ with respect to (B, Σ) under the two hypotheses to obtain null and alternative estimates $(\tilde{B}, \tilde{\Sigma})$ and $(\hat{B}, \hat{\Sigma})$.

The maximum likelihood estimates of B and Σ under the two hypotheses are

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}' &= (X'X)^{-1}X'Y & \tilde{\Sigma} &= \frac{1}{n} \text{diag}(Y - X\tilde{B})'(Y - X\tilde{B}) \\ \hat{B}' &= (X'X)^{-1}X'Y & \hat{\Sigma} &= \frac{1}{n} (Y - X\hat{B})'(Y - X\hat{B}). \end{aligned}$$

The generalized likelihood independence ratio statistic

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \frac{p(Y|\tilde{B}, \tilde{\Sigma}, X)}{p(Y|\hat{B}, \hat{\Sigma}, X)} \\ &= \frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{np}{2}} |\tilde{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} (Y - X\tilde{B})(Y - X\tilde{B})'}}{(2\pi)^{-\frac{np}{2}} |\hat{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} (Y - X\hat{B})(Y - X\hat{B})'}} \\ \lambda^{-\frac{2}{n}} &= \frac{|\frac{1}{n} \text{diag}(Y - X\tilde{B})(Y - X\tilde{B})'|}{|\frac{1}{n} (Y - X\hat{B})(Y - X\hat{B})'|} \\ \lambda^{-\frac{2}{n}} &= \frac{|\frac{1}{n} \text{diag}(Y - X\hat{B})(Y - X\hat{B})'|}{|\frac{1}{n} (Y - X\hat{B})(Y - X\hat{B})'|} \\ \hat{\varrho} &= |\hat{R}| \end{aligned}$$

It is readily seen that $\hat{\varrho}$ which is the coefficient matrix determinant (COMDET) is a test statistic for the general covariance (correlation) structure. The exact distribution $p(|R|)$ under the null hypothesis that the voxels are independent has been derived [8] which is of an extremely complicated form. Along with this exact distribution $p(|R|)$ is the asymptotic distribution of

$$v = -[\nu - (2p + 5)/6] \ln |R|$$

which is χ^2 with $\gamma = p(p-1)/2$ degrees of freedom. Exact critical 5% and 1% values for v (which have been replicated in Tables 9 and 11) were presented [8] for $\nu = 3, \dots, 20$, $p = 3, \dots, 10$, $p \leq \nu$ where $\nu = n - q - 1$ along with the asymptotic critical values. Note that the statistic $-2n \ln |R|$ was not used. Monte Carlo investigations have found that the distribution and hence critical values of $-\nu - (2p + 5)/6 \ln |R|$ was closer to the true sampling distribution than $-2 \ln |R|$.

5 Significance Critical Values

The COSLOF, $\bar{\rho}$ and COMDET $|\hat{R}|$, which are derived from generalized likelihood ratio tests do not follow well known and easily integrable distribution functions. In order for the COMDET statistic to be computable \hat{R} must be positive definite and is only so when $p \leq \nu$. The probability distribution function of ϱ was derived under the null hypothesis with exact 5% and 1% critical values along with the asymptotic χ^2 distribution of v [8]. Both statistics $\hat{\rho}$ and $\hat{\varrho}$ are measures of functional synchrony. The distribution of the COSLOF ρ is not known or easily computable and critical values have not previously been presented. Monte Carlo generated critical values are presented in the appendix.

Given a set of data Y , the statistics $\hat{\rho}$ and $\hat{\varrho}$ (or \hat{u} and \hat{v}) are computed. These test statistics are compared to critical values in the appendix. The null hypothesis is rejected at a level α if the test statistic $\hat{\rho}$ is larger than the tabulated α level critical value or $\hat{\varrho}$ is smaller than the tabulated value. Asymptotic hypothesis for population differences can also be made as outlined in the appendix.

If functional synchrony were being measured in the presence of a presented stimulus or task, then a third column would be added to the design matrix X corresponding to a hemodynamic reference function.

The exact distributions of $\hat{\rho}$, u , $\hat{\varrho}$, and v under the null hypothesis that the voxels are independent are extremely complicated as is the determination of exact critical values. Exact 5% and 1% critical values for v (and hence $\hat{\varrho}$ have only previously been given [8] for very specific combinations of ν and p . For the evaluation of functional synchrony, combinations of ν and p which are not previously presented are needed as are critical values for $\hat{\rho}$ and u . The previously presented values of v were replicated by a Monte Carlo sampling based technique. A large number $L = 10^6$ was selected. For each $l = 1, \dots, L$, a set of n random vectors x_{1l}, \dots, x_{nl} of dimension p from a standard multivariate normal distribution were generated. The sample correlation matrix was computed

from each set of vectors which resulted in $\hat{R}_1, \dots, \hat{R}_L$ and $\hat{v}_1, \dots, \hat{v}_L$. These v 's were ranked then the $.90 * L^{th}$, $.95 * L^{th}$, $.975 * L^{th}$, $.99 * L^{th}$ and $.999 * L^{th}$ ones retained as the 10%, 5%, 2.5%, 1%, and .1% critical values. This sampling based procedure was repeated for each previously reported combination of n and p . The sampling based critical values which are in agreement with the previously reported values are presented in Tables 8-12 and are greatly expanded. In addition, the same critical values were computed for the COSLOF index ρ and presented in Tables 3-7. The critical values are needed to determine significant functional synchrony. Statistic p -values can be interpolated from the presented critical values.

6 Example

For an example, data from a simulated fMRI experiment of a 5×5 ROI is generated. The voxels in the ROI are numbered sequentially from top left to bottom right and stacked in increasing numerical order. The simulated data consists of $n = 177$ data points ($\nu = 175$) for $p = 25$ voxels. The simulated data is generated according to Equation 2.1 where the design matrix X is a $n \times 2$ matrix whose first column is an n dimensional vector of ones and the second column is at an n dimensional vector of the first counting numbers. The true regression coefficient matrix B is given in Table 6. The voxels were specified to have spatial distributed lag (SDL) one correlation structure with a voxel having the same cross-correlation with its four-neighbors of $c = 0.2$.

Table 1: True regression intercept and slope coefficients.

β_0, β_1	1	2	3	4	5
1	.2, .5	.7, .1	.4, .9	.3, .2	.9, .6
2	.4, .8	.5, .3	.2, .7	.9, .1	.1, .3
3	.5, .5	.1, .6	.6, .4	.4, .2	.4, .5
4	.8, .9	.2, .5	.7, .1	.4, .9	.3, .2
5	.3, .2	.9, .6	.4, .8	.5, .3	.2, .7

For this simulated data set, the COSLOF was computed to be $\hat{\rho} = 0.0177$ ($u = 129.64$) and COMDET to be $\hat{\rho} = .0328$ ($v = 566.76$). The COSLOF statistic is significant at the $\alpha = 10^{-2}$ level while the COMDET statistic is clearly significant at the $\alpha = 10^{-3}$ level. Because of the large degrees of freedom of v , the asymptotic normal distribution can be used to see that the above sample value is significant at $\alpha = 5 \times 10^{-6}$ [1]. These are two distinct measures of functional synchrony that are computed from the cross-correlations. The COMDET is an index of volume from the cross-correlation

matrix while the COSLOF is simply the average cross-correlation. In the next section, the COSLOF and COMDET are compared for sensitivity and power to detect varying correlation structures.

7 Power Analysis

For a power analysis of sensitivity to different correlation structures, data for $p = 25$, $q = 2$, and $n = 77, 102$, or 177 is generated with five different correlation structures. The correlation structures are intraclass (INT) with equal cross correlations, spatial distributed lag (SDL) one with a voxel having the same cross-correlation with its four-neighbors, what will be called spatial distributed lag one-minus (SDM) where the first thirteen voxels are SDL(1) while the last twelve are spatial SDL(1) with c replaced by $-c$, Markov (MKV) or temporal autoregressive one where the correlation between the numbered voxels is the correlation raised to the power of the difference in their numbering, temporal distributed lag (TDL) one or tridiagonal where the correlation matrix has the correlation on the first super and sub diagonal. The last two may not be appropriate for the current application but are commonly used for others and are included for completeness. For each of the correlation structures, 10^4 data sets with the same model as above were generated with correlation parameter $c = 0.15$ or $c = 0.25$.

Table 2 contains the number of rejected null hypotheses for the two functional synchrony measures with each correlation structure at the $\alpha = .001$ level. The sensitivity power analysis indicates that for moderate to large sample

Table 2: Correlation structure power analysis. $p = 25$

		$c = .15$					$c = .25$				
		INT	SDL	SDM	MKV	TDL	INT	SDL	SDM	MKV	TDL
$n = 77$	COSLOF	10000	3478	61	9784	918	10000	7889	167	9999	3415
	COMDET	9522	2570	2543	10000	495	10000	10000	10000	10000	7992
$n = 100$	COSLOF	10000	4725	92	9961	1307	10000	9052	241	10000	4784
	COMDET	9979	5871	5818	10000	1392	10000	10000	10000	10000	9838
$n = 177$	COSLOF	10000	7914	163	10000	3140	10000	9957	511	10000	8002
	COMDET	10000	9941	9936	10000	6279	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000

sizes or moderate to large spatial correlations, always use the COMDET; but

for low sample sizes and low spatial correlations, use the COSLOF. Further, when there are both positive and negative correlations use the COMDET and not the COSLOF. The COSLOF and COMDET performed similarly with no correlation with about ten rejected hypotheses. In neuroscience, voxels are most often positively correlated. In other applications, there may be both positive and negative correlations which could sum to zero and result in a COSLOF which is not significant but a COMDET which is very significant.

8 Conclusion

The COMDET, a new measure of functional synchrony was presented and compared with the previous measure, the COSLOF. For a simulated data set, both measures of functional synchrony were computed and found the correlation between the voxels to be significant, but the COMDET declared it to be significant at a much higher level. A power analysis of sensitivity to different correlation structures was performed with the aid of Monte Carlo generated critical values for significance. It was found that for moderate to large sample sizes or moderate to large correlations, the COMDET should be used, but for low sample sizes and low correlations the COSLOF may be preferred. It was also found that when there are both positive and negative correlations, the COMDET should be used.

A Population Hypotheses

Suppose we now wish to test hypotheses regarding the COSLOF and COMDET for a population or the difference in populations. Let $s = 1, \dots, S$ denote the subjects in a population. Denote the estimated COSLOF and COMDET for subject s by $\hat{\rho}_s$ and $\hat{\varrho}_s$. For a single population, there are two functional synchrony hypotheses which can be evaluated. Associated with the COSLOF, is the hypothesis

$$H_0 : \begin{array}{l} B_s \in \mathbb{R}^{(q+1) \times p_s} \\ \text{diag}(D_s) = \mathbb{R}^{p_s+} \\ \rho_s = \rho_{s'} \end{array} \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1 : \begin{array}{l} B_s \in \mathbb{R}^{(q+1) \times p_s} \\ \text{diag}(D_s) = \mathbb{R}^{p_s+} \\ \rho_s \neq \rho_{s'} \end{array}$$

that the average cross-correlation for the subjects in the population is the same with test statistic

$$\hat{u} = \sum_{s=1}^S \hat{u}_s.$$

Asymptotically, $\hat{u} \sim \chi^2(S)$ where S is the number of subjects. The large degrees of freedom approximation $\hat{u} \sim N(S, 2S)$ can also be used and the test statistic

$$Z = \frac{\hat{u} - S}{\sqrt{2S}}.$$

Associated with the COMDET is the hypothesis

$$H_0 : \begin{array}{l} B_s \in \mathbb{R}^{(q+1) \times p_s} \\ \Sigma_s = \text{diag}(\Sigma_s) \end{array} \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1 : \begin{array}{l} B_s \in \mathbb{R}^{(q+1) \times p_s} \\ \Sigma_s > 0 \end{array}$$

that the voxels for the subjects in the population are independent with test statistic

$$\hat{v} = \sum_{s=1}^S \hat{v}_s.$$

Asymptotically, $\hat{v} \sim \chi^2(\gamma)$ where $\gamma = \sum_{s=1}^S \gamma_s$ and $\gamma_s = p_s(p_s - 1)/2$. The large degrees of freedom result that $\hat{v} \sim N(\gamma, 2\gamma)$ and the test statistic

$$z = \frac{\hat{v} - \gamma}{\sqrt{2\gamma}}$$

can also be used.

Table 3: Critical 10% COSLOF values from sampling.

ν, p	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25		
2	.9512																									
3	.8006	.4998																								
4	.6878	.4167	.2892																							
5	.6079	.3629	.2549	.1951																						
6	.5511	.3272	.2304	.1771	.1440																					
7	.5068	.3000	.2119	.1637	.1326	.1121																				
8	.4709	.2783	.1966	.1519	.1242	.1047	.0906																			
9	.4437	.2616	.1848	.1431	.1165	.0984	.0850	.0748																		
10	.4185	.2466	.1738	.1350	.1101	.0930	.0805	.0710	.0636																	
11	.3980	.2347	.1658	.1282	.1048	.0884	.0767	.0676	.0603	.0545																
12	.3801	.2236	.1586	.1227	.1002	.0845	.0732	.0645	.0578	.0522	.0475															
13	.3647	.2143	.1522	.1175	.0961	.0810	.0702	.0620	.0554	.0500	.0456	.0420														
14	.3502	.2058	.1459	.1133	.0924	.0781	.0677	.0597	.0534	.0484	.0440	.0404	.0375													
15	.3377	.1986	.1405	.1092	.0892	.0754	.0653	.0575	.0515	.0465	.0424	.0391	.0362	.0337												
16	.3275	.1921	.1361	.1056	.0862	.0727	.0630	.0556	.0498	.0449	.0410	.0379	.0350	.0326	.0305											
17	.3167	.1858	.1321	.1026	.0839	.0708	.0611	.0539	.0481	.0436	.0397	.0367	.0339	.0315	.0295	.0278										
18	.3080	.1803	.1282	.0993	.0810	.0685	.0593	.0523	.0468	.0423	.0387	.0355	.0328	.0307	.0287	.0270	.0254									
19	.2994	.1756	.1244	.0965	.0787	.0666	.0577	.0509	.0455	.0412	.0376	.0345	.0320	.0298	.0279	.0262	.0246	.0234								
20	.2909	.1712	.1213	.0938	.0769	.0649	.0561	.0495	.0444	.0401	.0366	.0336	.0312	.0291	.0271	.0255	.0240	.0227	.0215							
25	.2604	.1521	.1077	.0836	.0683	.0578	.0501	.0443	.0395	.0358	.0326	.0300	.0277	.0258	.0242	.0228	.0214	.0203	.0192	.0183	.0175	.0167	.0160	.0153		
50	.1826	.1065	.0754	.0586	.0480	.0405	.0352	.0310	.0277	.0250	.0229	.0211	.0195	.0182	.0170	.0160	.0150	.0142	.0135	.0128	.0122	.0117	.0112	.0107		
75	.1488	.0866	.0613	.0476	.0389	.0330	.0286	.0252	.0225	.0204	.0186	.0171	.0158	.0147	.0138	.0130	.0123	.0116	.0110	.0104	.0122	.0117	.0091	.0087		
100	.1285	.0748	.0531	.0412	.0336	.0285	.0247	.0217	.0194	.0176	.0161	.0148	.0137	.0127	.0119	.0112	.0106	.0100	.0095	.0090	.0086	.0082	.0079	.0075		
125	.1151	.0667	.0474	.0367	.0301	.0254	.0220	.0194	.0174	.0158	.0143	.0132	.0122	.0114	.0106	.0100	.0094	.0089	.0085	.0080	.0077	.0074	.0070	.0067		
150	.1048	.0609	.0433	.0335	.0274	.0232	.0200	.0177	.0158	.0144	.0131	.0121	.0111	.0104	.0097	.0091	.0086	.0081	.0077	.0074	.0070	.0067	.0064	.0061		
175	.0971	.0564	.0400	.0310	.0254	.0214	.0186	.0164	.0147	.0132	.0121	.0111	.0103	.0096	.0090	.0084	.0079	.0075	.0071	.0068	.0065	.0062	.0059	.0057		
200	.0908	.0527	.0374	.0290	.0236	.0201	.0173	.0153	.0137	.0124	.0113	.0104	.0096	.0090	.0084	.0079	.0074	.007	.0067	.0064	.0061	.0058	.0055	.0053		

Table 4: Critical 5% COSLOF values from sampling.

ν, p	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25		
2	.9877																									
3	.9001	.6430																								
4	.8064	.5402	.3921																							
5	.7296	.4730	.3438	.2682																						
6	.6697	.4272	.3098	.2414	.1977																					
7	.6211	.3913	.2836	.2225	.1813	.1537																				
8	.5816	.3633	.2629	.2053	.1686	.1429	.1241																			
9	.5499	.3417	.2465	.1926	.1579	.1340	.1162	.1025																		
10	.5205	.3218	.2319	.1814	.1487	.1263	.1095	.0969	.0869																	
11	.4965	.3067	.2202	.1720	.1418	.1195	.1039	.0919	.0821	.0745																
12	.4761	.2919	.2105	.1642	.1349	.1144	.0993	.0875	.0787	.0711	.0648															
13	.4576	.2797	.2018	.1572	.1293	.1092	.0950	.0841	.0751	.0680	.0623	.0571														
14	.4401	.2683	.1936	.1513	.1238	.1049	.0915	.0807	.0723	.0654	.0597	.0550	.0509													
15	.4253	.2588	.1858	.1457	.1196	.1014	.0881	.0777	.0696	.0630	.0575	.0529	.0491	.0457												
16	.4127	.2509	.1801	.1409	.1152	.0979	.0848	.0751	.0673	.0607	.0554	.0513	.0473	.0442	.0413											
17	.3995	.2422	.1745	.1361	.1119	.0948	.0822	.0726	.0648	.0588	.0537	.0495	.0457	.0426	.0399	.0376										
18	.3891	.2350	.1691	.1320	.1083	.0920	.0795	.0704	.0630	.0571	.0520	.0481	.0444	.0414	.0388	.0365	.0343									
19	.3780	.2287	.1641	.1284	.1050	.0891	.0773	.0685	.0613	.0555	.0507	.0466	.0432	.0402	.0377	.0354	.0333	.0315								
20	.3681	.2230	.1599	.1247	.1024	.0866	.0750	.0664	.0595	.0538	.0493	.0452	.0420	.0392	.0365	.0344	.0325	.0307	.0291							
25	.3305	.1983	.1418	.1106	.0905	.0770	.0667	.0591	.0529	.0479	.0437	.0401	.0371	.0347	.0324	.0306	.0288	.0272	.0259	.0247	.0234	.0224	.0215	.0206		
50	.2333	.1383	.0986	.0768	.0631	.0534	.0464	.0410	.0366	.0331	.0303	.0278	.0258	.0241	.0225	.0212	.0199	.0188	.0178	.0170	.0162	.0155	.0148	.0142		
75	.1903	.1123	.0800	.0622	.0510	.0432	.0375	.0331	.0366	.0268	.0245	.0225	.0208	.0194	.0182	.0171	.0161	.0153	.0145	.0138	.0162	.0126	.0121	.0115		
100	.1644	.0969	.0691	.0536	.0440	.0372	.0323	.0285	.0254	.0231	.0211	.0194	.0179	.0167	.0156	.0147	.0138	.0131	.0124	.0118	.0113	.0108	.0103	.0099		
125	.1472	.0865	.0615	.0478	.0392	.0331	.0287	.0254	.0227	.0206	.0188	.0172	.0160	.0149	.0139	.0131	.0123	.0117	.0111	.0105	.0100	.0096	.0092	.0088		
150	.1345	.0789	.0562	.0436	.0357	.0302	.0261	.0231	.0207	.0187	.0171	.0158	.0145	.0136	.0127	.0119	.0113	.0106	.0101	.0096	.0092	.0088	.0084	.0080		
175	.1244	.0728	.0519	.0402	.0330	.0279	.0242	.0213	.0191	.0173	.0158	.0145	.0134	.0125	.0117	.0110	.0104	.0098	.0093	.0089	.0085	.0081	.0077	.0074		
200	.1164	.0680	.0483	.0376	.0308	.0260	.0226	.0199	.0178	.0162	.0148	.0136	.0126	.0117	.0109	.0103	.0097	.0092	.0087	.0083	.0079	.0075	.0072	.0069		

Table 5: Critical 2.5% COSLOF values from sampling.

ν, p	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25		
2	.9969																									
3	.9500	.7461																								
4	.8792	.6375	.4796																							
5	.8113	.5617	.4209	.3330																						
6	.7559	.5097	.3781	.2989	.2469																					
7	.7059	.4679	.3464	.2741	.2256	.1920																				
8	.6652	.4357	.3208	.2531	.2090	.1782	.1546																			
9	.6317	.4093	.3004	.2372	.1954	.1663	.1446	.1281																		
10	.6022	.3858	.2824	.2230	.1840	.1565	.1358	.1205	.1085																	
11	.5757	.3681	.2685	.2113	.1747	.1479	.1291	.1142	.1022	.0930																
12	.5525	.3506	.2559	.2017	.1663	.1414	.1231	.1088	.0976	.0884	.0807															
13	.5324	.3360	.2451	.1921	.1590	.1348	.1176	.1041	.0933	.0842	.0773	.0710														
14	.5139	.3227	.2356	.1850	.1524	.1294	.1131	.0996	.0896	.0812	.0740	.0683	.0634													
15	.4961	.3109	.2263	.1785	.1468	.1247	.1085	.0960	.0861	.0781	.0714	.0656	.0609	.0567												
16	.4815	.3010	.2187	.1723	.1416	.1203	.1046	.0926	.0830	.0753	.0687	.0635	.0586	.0549	.0513											
17	.4676	.2916	.2117	.1661	.1370	.1164	.1011	.0895	.0800	.0728	.0665	.0612	.0566	.0528	.0495	.0468										
18	.4558	.2828	.2055	.1615	.1330	.1128	.0979	.0868	.0777	.0704	.0642	.0595	.0549	.0513	.0479	.0452	.0425									
19	.4430	.2748	.1994	.1563	.1285	.1092	.0950	.0842	.0755	.0684	.0626	.0575	.0535	.0498	.0465	.0438	.0411	.0390								
20	.4324	.2681	.1940	.1519	.1254	.1064	.0921	.0816	.0733	.0665	.0607	.0559	.0519	.0484	.0452	.0425	.0402	.0381	.0360							
25	.3891	.2383	.1720	.1347	.1107	.0944	.0817	.0724	.0650	.0590	.0538	.0493	.0458	.0426	.0400	.0375	.0354	.0336	.0319	.0304	.0289	.0276	.0265	.0254		
50	.2759	.1659	.1191	.0929	.0764	.0649	.0564	.0498	.0444	.0404	.0368	.0339	.0315	.0293	.0274	.0258	.0243	.0229	.0218	.0207	.0198	.0189	.0181	.0174		
75	.2263	.1346	.0966	.0752	.0617	.0524	.0455	.0401	.0359	.0326	.0298	.0274	.0253	.0236	.0221	.0208	.0196	.0185	.0176	.0167	.0160	.0153	.0146	.0140		
100	.1951	.1160	.0832	.0647	.0530	.0449	.0391	.0344	.0308	.0279	.0255	.0235	.0217	.0203	.0189	.0178	.0168	.0159	.0151	.0143	.0137	.0131	.0125	.0120		
125	.1752	.1035	.0740	.0576	.0473	.0399	.0347	.0307	.0275	.0248	.0227	.0209	.0194	.0181	.0168	.0158	.0149	.0142	.0134	.0128	.0122	.0116	.0111	.0107		
150	.1601	.0946	.0674	.0524	.0430	.0364	.0315	.0279	.0250	.0226	.0207	.0190	.0176	.0164	.0153	.0144	.0136	.0129	.0122	.0116	.0111	.0106	.0101	.0097		
175	.1482	.0872	.0623	.0483	.0397	.0336	.0292	.0257	.0231	.0209	.0190	.0175	.0162	.0151	.0142	.0133	.0125	.0119	.0113	.0107	.0102	.0098	.0093	.0090		
200	.1387	.0815	.0580	.0452	.0370	.0314	.0272	.0240	.0215	.0195	.0178	.0164	.0152	.0141	.0132	.0124	.0117	.0111	.0105	.0100	.0095	.0091	.0087	.0083		

Table 6: Critical 1% COSLOF values from sampling.

ν, p	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25		
2	.9995																									
3	.9797	.8386																								
4	.9349	.7348	.5769																							
5	.8820	.6551	.5071	.4088																						
6	.8333	.5993	.4578	.3663	.3051																					
7	.7892	.5515	.4177	.3347	.2787	.2378																				
8	.7490	.5154	.3884	.3093	.2572	.2198	.1922																			
9	.7153	.4853	.3626	.2902	.2406	.2049	.1793	.1598																		
10	.6854	.4594	.3421	.2725	.2261	.1929	.1680	.1494	.1345																	
11	.6590	.4372	.3242	.2582	.2144	.1828	.1592	.1414	.1270	.1155																
12	.6334	.4163	.3102	.2458	.2039	.1740	.1518	.1350	.1207	.1100	.1003															
13	.6119	.4002	.2962	.2339	.1944	.1659	.1450	.1284	.1154	.1044	.0957	.0881														
14	.5925	.3846	.2850	.2250	.1867	.1589	.1393	.1228	.1102	.1003	.0918	.0845	.0784													
15	.5731	.3702	.2736	.2168	.1799	.1530	.1335	.1182	.1061	.0963	.0882	.0811	.0754	.0702												
16	.5573	.3586	.2645	.2096	.1729	.1474	.1285	.1139	.1022	.0928	.0848	.0783	.0725	.0677	.0635											
17	.5411	.3476	.2555	.2019	.1673	.1425	.1242	.1100	.0985	.0896	.0817	.0754	.0699	.0653	.0612	.0578										
18	.5289	.3375	.2482	.1959	.1625	.1379	.1203	.1066	.0955	.0865	.0791	.0734	.0678	.0632	.0591	.0558	.0524									
19	.5143	.3281	.2407	.1895	.1569	.1339	.1164	.1032	.0927	.0842	.0770	.0709	.0660	.0613	.0575	.0542	.0507	.0483								
20	.5037	.3202	.2344	.1847	.1527	.1297	.1129	.0999	.0899	.0814	.0746	.0690	.0638	.0596	.0556	.0524	.0496	.0469	.0445							
25	.4549	.2846	.2076	.1634	.1350	.1149	.1000	.0885	.0796	.0722	.0661	.0605	.0561	.0524	.0491	.0462	.0436	.0413	.0392	.0373	.0357	.0339	.0326	.0312		
50	.3250	.1982	.1435	.1120	.0924	.0785	.0683	.0603	.0539	.0489	.0448	.0412	.0383	.0357	.0334	.0315	.0296	.0279	.0265	.0253	.0241	.0231	.0221	.0212		
75	.2670	.1608	.1160	.0904	.0744	.0633	.0549	.0485	.0435	.0394	.0361	.0331	.0306	.0286	.0268	.0252	.0237	.0225	.0214	.0203	.0193	.0185	.0178	.0170		
100	.2306	.1385	.0998	.0777	.0639	.0542	.0471	.0415	.0372	.0337	.0308	.0284	.0262	.0244	.0229	.0215	.0203	.0192	.0182	.0173	.0165	.0159	.0151	.0145		
125	.2075	.1238	.0887	.0690	.0569	.0479	.0417	.0370	.0331	.0299	.0274	.0252	.0233	.0218	.0203	.0191	.0180	.0171	.0162	.0154	.0147	.0140	.0134	.0129		
150	.1893	.1127	.0806	.0629	.0517	.0438	.0378	.0336	.0300	.0272	.0249	.0230	.0212	.0198	.0185	.0173	.0164	.0155	.0147	.0140	.0133	.0127	.0122	.0117		
175	.1757	.1039	.0747	.0578	.0477	.0402	.0350	.0309	.0278	.0251	.0228	.0210	.0195	.0182	.0170	.0160	.0151	.0143	.0136	.0129	.0123	.0118	.0113	.0108		
200	.1644	.0972	.0693	.0542	.0444	.0376	.0326	.0288	.0258	.0234	.0214	.0197	.0182	.0170	.0159	.0149	.0140	.0133	.0126	.0120	.0115	.0109	.0105	.0100		

Table 7: Critical .1% COSLOF values from sampling.

ν, p	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
2	1.0000																							
3	.9980 .9486																							
4	.9860 .8765 .7471																							
5	.9627 .8097 .6677 .5601																							
6	.9350 .7511 .6088 .5078 .4274																							
7	.9053 .7033 .5603 .4592 .3928 .3388																							
8	.8758 .6613 .5237 .4272 .3609 .3120 .2752																							
9	.8459 .6292 .4884 .4042 .3373 .2907 .2561 .2293																							
10	.8216 .5979 .4635 .3758 .3179 .2725 .2391 .2131 .1930																							
11	.7972 .5711 .4415 .3555 .2983 .2583 .2263 .2033 .1819 .1657																							
12	.7705 .5483 .4220 .3401 .2850 .2437 .2146 .1918 .1714 .1579 .1440																							
13	.7504 .5277 .4025 .3230 .2705 .2345 .2047 .1824 .1645 .1498 .1375 .1265																							
14	.7308 .5087 .3875 .3099 .2597 .2242 .1965 .1742 .1580 .1435 .1315 .1208 .1123																							
15	.7117 .4908 .3727 .3001 .2502 .2150 .1892 .1675 .1517 .1383 .1255 .1166 .1075 .1011																							
16	.6946 .4775 .3613 .2888 .2413 .2070 .1823 .1615 .1456 .1321 .1216 .1119 .1038 .0965 .0914																							
17	.6768 .4605 .3476 .2783 .2329 .2000 .1755 .1559 .1400 .1278 .1165 .1075 .0994 .0938 .0874 .0823																							
18	.6627 .4463 .3376 .2705 .2261 .1929 .1696 .1501 .1348 .1226 .1122 .1045 .0968 .0905 .0848 .0796 .0749																							
19	.6472 .4396 .3289 .2620 .2182 .1878 .1640 .1450 .1311 .1190 .1092 .1007 .0931 .0871 .0820 .0770 .0727 .0690																							
20	.6349 .4271 .3203 .2548 .2115 .1815 .1586 .1406 .1265 .1162 .1064 .0980 .0904 .0844 .0791 .0746 .0707 .0670 .0634																							
25	.5795 .3810 .2819 .2252 .1871 .1604 .1400 .1242 .1116 .1011 .0933 .0854 .0792 .0741 .0695 .0655 .0619 .0583 .0560 .0528 .0505 .0485 .0464 .0445																							
50	.4229 .2672 .1945 .1529 .1272 .1079 .0943 .0834 .0747 .0679 .0623 .0574 .0533 .0498 .0465 .0438 .0411 .0388 .0370 .0353 .0335 .0323 .0310 .0295																							
75	.3493 .2162 .1568 .1237 .1019 .0865 .0754 .0665 .0598 .0542 .0497 .0455 .0425 .0394 .0369 .0346 .0329 .0311 .0296 .0280 .0266 .0255 .0246 .0235																							
100	.3030 .1868 .1350 .1062 .0868 .0741 .0644 .0568 .0508 .0460 .0424 .0388 .0362 .0336 .0315 .0296 .0279 .0265 .0249 .0237 .0227 .0218 .0210 .0201																							
125	.2719 .1665 .1191 .0939 .0773 .0654 .0571 .0507 .0454 .0408 .0374 .0345 .0321 .0298 .0279 .0263 .0247 .0236 .0222 .0212 .0203 .0193 .0185 .0177																							
150	.2492 .1510 .1090 .0849 .0703 .0598 .0518 .0456 .0410 .0370 .0339 .0313 .0290 .0269 .0254 .0236 .0224 .0212 .0202 .0191 .0183 .0175 .0168 .0160																							
175	.2316 .1395 .1001 .0782 .0643 .0548 .0476 .0419 .0380 .0342 .0310 .0288 .0266 .0248 .0233 .0219 .0206 .0195 .0185 .0176 .0168 .0161 .0153 .0146																							
200	.2183 .1301 .0934 .0730 .0603 .0512 .0443 .0391 .0349 .0318 .0291 .0267 .0247 .0231 .0216 .0204 .0191 .0181 .0173 .0164 .0156 .0149 .0143 .0137																							

Table 8: Critical 10% v values from sampling.

ν_1, p	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	
2	1.85																								
3	2.49	6.38																							
4	2.61	6.26	12.62																						
5	2.66	6.24	11.31	20.39																					
6	2.68	6.24	10.98	17.67	29.70																				
7	2.68	6.25	10.84	16.88	25.34	40.47																			
8	2.69	6.25	10.76	16.57	24.04	34.40	52.66																		
9	2.70	6.25	10.74	16.36	23.43	32.42	44.76	66.25																	
10	2.69	6.24	10.72	16.28	23.09	31.46	42.09	56.47	81.28																
11	2.70	6.24	10.68	16.20	22.92	31.00	40.76	52.99	69.46	97.69															
12	2.70	6.25	10.69	16.17	22.77	30.68	40.01	51.27	65.15	83.91	115.50														
13	2.70	6.25	10.69	16.14	22.66	30.43	39.53	50.25	62.98	78.64	99.46	134.67													
14	2.70	6.24	10.68	16.09	22.62	30.27	39.18	49.59	61.65	75.96	93.42	116.50	155.36												
15	2.70	6.25	10.67	16.10	22.56	30.14	38.97	49.09	60.75	74.21	90.13	109.43	134.90	177.22											
16	2.70	6.24	10.67	16.08	22.53	30.08	38.77	48.80	60.13	73.17	88.10	105.55	126.81	154.57	200.66										
17	2.70	6.24	10.66	16.06	22.50	29.99	38.65	48.51	59.75	72.33	86.69	103.14	122.22	145.40	175.65	225.44									
18	2.71	6.25	10.66	16.05	22.47	29.96	38.54	48.32	59.36	71.77	85.66	101.42	119.37	140.29	165.40	197.83	251.45								
19	2.70	6.25	10.65	16.02	22.46	29.90	38.47	48.20	59.09	71.30	84.96	100.27	117.44	136.83	159.48	186.61	221.72	279.04							
20	2.70	6.25	10.65	16.02	22.41	29.86	38.39	48.04	58.85	70.98	84.40	99.25	115.94	134.59	155.59	179.94	208.98	246.63	307.79						
25	2.71	6.26	10.65	16.04	22.36	29.78	38.19	47.68	58.24	69.96	82.82	96.93	112.22	129.00	147.21	167.01	188.61	212.34	238.55	267.95	301.38	341.12	391.55	472.51	
50	2.71	6.25	10.64	15.99	22.30	29.64	37.97	47.32	57.65	68.98	81.40	94.80	109.30	124.73	141.35	158.96	177.55	197.42	218.23	240.19	263.43	287.68	313.08	339.62	
75	2.71	6.25	10.65	16.00	22.32	29.63	37.93	47.23	57.59	68.88	81.20	94.55	108.88	124.09	140.49	157.88	176.23	195.55	215.89	237.37	259.73	283.28	307.76	333.25	
100	2.70	6.24	10.64	15.98	22.31	29.64	37.93	47.22	57.53	68.86	81.18	94.48	108.80	124.09	140.49	157.64	176.00	195.36	215.75	237.10	259.48	282.84	307.31	332.66	
125	2.71	6.25	10.65	15.98	22.32	29.60	37.92	47.22	57.50	68.85	81.10	94.42	108.70	124.02	140.31	157.66	176.01	195.29	215.55	236.98	259.29	282.66	307.04	332.47	
150	2.71	6.25	10.66	15.99	22.31	29.59	37.91	47.24	57.50	68.83	81.11	94.42	108.70	124.02	140.31	157.66	176.01	195.29	215.55	236.98	259.29	282.66	307.04	332.47	
175	2.70	6.26	10.65	15.97	22.30	29.60	37.92	47.26	57.53	68.83	81.08	94.39	108.66	123.95	140.29	157.56	175.97	195.24	215.56	236.81	259.17	282.50	306.80	332.27	
200	2.70	6.24	10.65	16.00	22.28	29.62	37.92	47.24	57.52	68.80	81.11	94.41	108.67	123.97	140.31	157.54	175.85	195.19	215.49	236.70	259.09	282.41	306.77	332.07	
∞	2.71	6.25	10.64	15.99	22.31	29.62	37.92	47.21	57.51	68.80	81.09	94.37	108.66	123.95	140.23	157.52	175.80	195.09	215.37	236.65	258.94	282.22	306.51	331.79	

Table 9: Critical 5% v values from sampling.

ν, p	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	
2	2.54																								
3	3.49	8.02																							
4	3.69	7.83	15.25																						
5	3.77	7.80	13.47	23.96																					
6	3.80	7.81	13.03	20.45	34.33																				
7	3.80	7.80	12.85	19.42	28.73	46.05																			
8	3.80	7.80	12.74	19.04	27.11	38.41	59.25																		
9	3.82	7.81	12.71	18.79	26.36	36.02	49.45	73.83																	
10	3.83	7.80	12.68	18.67	25.94	34.88	46.25	61.79	89.97																
11	3.83	7.79	12.64	18.58	25.72	34.31	44.65	57.64	75.40	107.39															
12	3.84	7.82	12.65	18.54	25.57	33.92	43.80	55.66	70.37	90.60	126.20														
13	3.83	7.81	12.65	18.49	25.43	33.68	43.21	54.46	67.86	84.44	106.80	146.45													
14	3.83	7.80	12.64	18.45	25.37	33.45	42.78	53.70	66.38	81.35	99.79	124.57	168.18												
15	3.83	7.81	12.62	18.44	25.29	33.28	42.58	53.12	65.30	79.39	96.04	116.36	143.61	191.02											
16	3.83	7.80	12.62	18.41	25.24	33.23	42.32	52.80	64.63	78.21	93.74	111.99	134.33	164.03	215.34										
17	3.83	7.81	12.62	18.40	25.22	33.12	42.19	52.47	64.19	77.23	92.18	109.28	129.16	153.51	185.79	241.28									
18	3.84	7.81	12.60	18.38	25.17	33.06	42.04	52.27	63.73	76.61	91.03	107.36	125.97	147.81	174.02	208.61	268.29								
19	3.83	7.81	12.61	18.37	25.19	33.03	41.95	52.09	63.43	76.11	90.23	106.06	123.84	143.95	167.54	195.92	233.19	296.84							
20	3.83	7.81	12.58	18.35	25.13	32.95	41.88	51.94	63.17	75.74	89.58	104.96	122.20	141.47	163.24	188.47	218.89	258.81	326.79						
25	3.85	7.82	12.60	18.36	25.06	32.87	41.63	51.52	62.45	74.60	87.87	102.40	118.17	135.38	154.07	174.41	196.59	220.95	247.88	278.22	312.71	354.13	407.309	496.95	
50	3.84	7.80	12.57	18.31	25.00	32.71	41.40	51.11	61.81	73.51	86.33	100.08	114.97	130.76	147.78	165.76	184.68	204.94	226.19	248.54	272.16	296.84	322.64	349.58	
75	3.85	7.81	12.59	18.31	25.00	32.70	41.35	51.00	61.73	73.41	86.09	99.77	114.51	130.30	147.02	164.74	183.57	203.44	224.28	246.19	269.29	293.20	318.31	344.44	
100	3.83	7.80	12.59	18.29	24.98	32.69	41.39	51.03	61.66	73.35	86.07	99.70	114.44	130.07	146.79	164.65	183.32	203.05	223.76	245.59	268.30	292.23	317.06	342.89	
125	3.84	7.81	12.59	18.29	25.03	32.64	41.37	51.00	61.67	73.36	86.01	99.66	114.36	130.02	146.75	164.32	183.09	202.76	223.55	245.31	267.99	291.79	316.55	342.29	
150	3.85	7.83	12.62	18.30	24.99	32.64	41.30	51.00	61.66	73.38	86.01	99.71	114.30	130.04	146.62	164.36	183.04	202.74	223.35	245.14	267.83	291.53	316.29	342.17	
175	3.84	7.83	12.61	18.30	24.99	32.64	41.34	51.01	61.69	73.36	85.95	99.62	114.32	129.95	146.64	164.25	183.04	202.68	223.36	244.99	267.67	291.41	316.05	341.95	
200	3.85	7.81	12.60	18.33	24.96	32.68	41.33	51.02	61.66	73.31	85.98	99.65	114.27	129.96	146.66	164.30	182.95	202.66	223.28	244.95	267.62	291.27	315.96	341.71	
∞	3.84	7.81	12.59	18.31	25.00	32.67	41.34	51.00	61.66	73.31	85.96	99.62	114.27	129.92	146.57	164.22	182.86	202.51	223.16	244.81	267.45	291.10	315.75	341.40	

Table 10: Critical 2.5% v values from sampling.

ν, p	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	
2	3.23																								
3	4.51	9.64																							
4	4.80	9.36	17.82																						
5	4.90	9.35	15.56	27.51																					
6	4.94	9.35	15.01	23.08	38.82																				
7	4.96	9.34	14.80	21.86	31.97	51.52																			
8	4.97	9.33	14.65	21.38	30.00	42.25	65.67																		
9	5.00	9.35	14.60	21.10	29.12	39.41	53.83	81.16																	
10	5.01	9.34	14.56	20.93	28.61	38.00	50.09	66.77	98.33																
11	5.02	9.34	14.53	20.83	28.35	37.40	48.23	61.98	80.97	116.74															
12	5.02	9.35	14.54	20.78	28.17	36.91	47.21	59.70	75.14	96.76	136.47														
13	5.01	9.34	14.53	20.70	28.01	36.65	46.56	58.33	72.28	89.81	113.57	157.87													
14	5.01	9.32	14.50	20.65	27.92	36.38	46.10	57.47	70.66	86.30	105.64	131.96	180.37												
15	5.00	9.34	14.48	20.64	27.86	36.18	45.87	56.83	69.44	84.11	101.37	122.75	151.60	204.35											
16	5.02	9.34	14.48	20.61	27.77	36.11	45.62	56.42	68.77	82.74	98.89	117.84	141.11	172.70	229.55										
17	5.00	9.34	14.46	20.60	27.78	36.01	45.39	56.07	68.20	81.66	97.10	114.86	135.39	160.88	195.10	256.49									
18	5.02	9.34	14.46	20.58	27.71	35.91	45.24	55.83	67.67	80.99	95.90	112.71	131.93	154.48	181.96	218.57	284.41								
19	5.02	9.38	14.47	20.56	27.74	35.89	45.17	55.64	67.35	80.37	94.98	111.32	129.67	150.41	174.78	204.31	243.80	313.83							
20	5.02	9.34	14.45	20.54	27.64	35.81	45.13	55.50	67.09	80.00	94.27	110.09	127.83	147.66	170.06	196.13	227.76	269.95	345.09						
25	5.03	9.34	14.46	20.54	27.56	35.69	44.77	55.02	65.31	78.79	92.40	107.35	123.50	141.06	160.21	181.07	203.79	209.10	230.06	287.32	322.93	365.85	421.68	520.11	
50	5.01	9.35	14.44	20.51	27.49	35.52	44.55	54.56	65.59	77.61	90.75	104.80	120.04	136.08	153.54	191.16	211.69	233.31	255.98	255.98	279.87	304.88	331.12	358.45	
75	5.02	9.34	14.45	20.47	27.50	35.52	44.50	54.42	65.48	77.45	90.46	104.47	119.56	135.67	152.71	170.77	189.90	210.08	231.18	253.47	276.86	301.17	326.58	353.04	
100	5.01	9.32	14.45	20.49	27.46	35.50	44.52	54.50	65.42	77.47	90.51	104.44	119.44	135.45	152.47	170.62	189.59	209.65	230.68	252.84	275.85	300.13	325.27	351.38	
125	5.03	9.34	14.46	20.48	27.54	35.45	44.56	54.42	65.45	77.43	90.39	104.37	119.37	135.40	152.34	170.35	189.47	209.39	230.42	252.51	275.59	299.69	324.68	350.82	
150	5.05	9.37	14.48	20.48	27.49	35.48	44.43	54.43	65.41	77.47	90.37	104.46	119.34	135.36	152.33	170.34	189.29	209.34	230.21	252.42	275.35	299.39	324.46	350.65	
175	5.03	9.35	14.46	20.45	27.48	35.46	44.48	54.44	65.44	77.43	90.31	104.23	119.33	135.23	152.31	170.20	189.31	209.37	230.27	252.14	275.27	299.25	324.22	350.44	
200	5.04	9.36	14.47	20.50	27.43	35.52	44.45	54.50	65.40	77.37	90.32	104.35	119.26	135.38	152.36	170.21	189.23	209.23	230.15	252.17	275.14	299.12	324.12	350.12	
∞	5.02	9.35	14.45	20.48	27.49	35.48	44.46	54.44	65.41	77.38	90.35	104.32	119.28	135.25	152.21	170.18	189.14	209.10	230.06	252.03	274.99	298.95	323.91	349.87	

Table 11: Critical 1% v values from sampling.

ν, p	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25		
2	4.15																									
3	5.86	11.78																								
4	6.27	11.39	21.23																							
5	6.43	11.36	18.26	32.22																						
6	6.51	11.34	17.50	26.49	44.68																					
7	6.54	11.33	17.26	24.95	36.08	58.59																				
8	6.55	11.32	17.08	24.29	33.64	47.09	74.11																			
9	6.58	11.34	17.05	23.94	32.55	43.55	59.44	90.79																		
10	6.64	11.31	16.94	23.76	31.93	41.90	54.86	73.03	109.27																	
11	6.62	11.33	16.92	23.60	31.60	41.17	52.71	67.38	87.89	128.80																
12	6.61	11.33	16.92	23.53	31.42	40.63	51.42	64.59	81.09	104.53	149.80															
13	6.62	11.32	16.92	23.48	31.17	40.28	50.76	63.07	77.75	96.30	122.14	172.35														
14	6.60	11.32	16.89	23.43	31.09	40.00	50.15	62.12	75.91	92.39	112.75	141.16	196.44													
15	6.60	11.33	16.85	23.39	31.07	39.76	49.89	61.32	74.58	89.80	107.98	130.53	161.59	221.60												
16	6.61	11.33	16.86	23.33	30.93	39.64	49.56	60.90	73.72	88.27	104.98	124.95	149.55	183.51	248.10											
17	6.61	11.36	16.82	23.30	30.92	39.51	49.39	60.43	73.10	87.10	103.14	121.59	143.06	169.95	206.63	276.19										
18	6.64	11.34	16.82	23.36	30.81	39.45	49.23	60.19	72.48	86.38	101.79	119.21	139.06	162.59	191.53	230.60	305.62									
19	6.62	11.38	16.87	23.29	30.87	39.41	49.06	59.99	72.13	85.59	100.71	117.62	136.60	158.15	183.53	214.59	256.72	336.04								
20	6.66	11.38	16.80	23.27	30.76	39.29	49.04	59.80	71.87	85.19	99.97	116.31	134.62	155.18	178.31	205.56	238.54	284.06	368.52							
25	6.65	11.35	16.83	23.27	30.67	39.17	48.59	59.24	71.01	83.85	97.90	113.35	129.88	147.88	167.48	189.00	212.17	237.75	266.16	298.38	335.10	380.05	439.50	549.37		
50	6.65	11.31	16.81	23.25	30.58	38.99	48.37	58.74	70.03	82.55	96.10	110.46	126.13	142.51	160.35	179.02	198.70	219.75	241.63	264.72	289.00	314.44	341.16	368.92		
75	6.65	11.34	16.80	23.15	30.58	39.01	48.31	58.59	70.05	82.42	95.80	110.12	125.50	142.06	159.43	177.86	197.36	217.98	239.51	262.13	285.80	310.55	336.38	363.36		
100	6.62	11.34	16.82	23.19	30.48	38.96	48.30	58.74	70.01	82.41	95.81	110.11	125.54	141.75	159.30	177.75	196.99	217.49	238.95	261.52	284.92	309.40	335.02	361.44		
125	6.65	11.34	16.78	23.20	30.60	38.93	48.41	58.59	69.99	82.32	95.67	110.02	125.37	141.87	159.06	177.45	196.95	217.75	238.58	261.05	284.63	308.89	334.43	360.92		
150	6.66	11.37	16.82	23.20	30.59	38.93	48.23	58.64	69.91	82.41	95.68	110.08	125.45	141.80	159.04	177.45	196.76	217.11	238.52	260.94	284.34	308.61	334.18	360.56		
175	6.64	11.33	16.83	23.17	30.57	38.92	48.35	58.60	69.93	82.37	95.62	109.88	125.32	141.58	159.06	177.35	196.72	217.19	238.52	260.76	284.14	308.58	333.90	360.49		
200	6.63	11.34	16.85	23.20	30.53	38.98	48.32	58.68	69.92	82.28	95.67	109.95	125.24	141.84	159.06	177.30	196.65	217.19	238.48	260.78	284.18	308.32	333.82	360.21		
∞	6.63	11.34	16.81	23.21	30.58	38.93	48.28	58.62	69.96	82.29	95.63	109.96	125.29	141.62	158.95	177.28	196.61	216.94	238.27	260.59	283.92	308.25	333.58	359.91		

Table 12: Critical .1% v values from sampling.

ν, p	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25		
2	6.46																									
3	9.32	17.21																								
4	10.07	16.44	29.55																							
5	10.38	16.40	24.68	43.78																						
6	10.56	16.24	23.82	34.93	59.38																					
7	10.63	16.27	23.27	32.22	46.13	76.31																				
8	10.62	16.27	22.92	31.30	42.22	58.85	94.91																			
9	10.67	16.24	22.84	30.81	40.46	53.32	72.80	114.42																		
10	10.78	16.28	22.73	30.40	39.65	50.93	66.05	87.85	136.60																	
11	10.72	16.18	22.57	30.29	39.10	49.98	62.84	79.33	104.75	158.80																
12	10.81	16.25	22.76	30.19	38.77	49.16	61.08	76.00	94.82	122.73	184.06															
13	10.82	16.22	22.57	30.07	38.65	48.57	60.33	73.97	90.50	111.35	142.29	209.00														
14	10.82	16.15	22.60	30.01	38.51	48.17	59.16	72.64	87.84	106.11	128.93	162.64	235.84													
15	10.72	16.31	22.60	29.95	38.49	47.92	59.12	71.43	85.83	103.04	123.03	147.96	184.89	263.91												
16	10.92	16.34	22.53	29.80	38.23	47.75	58.71	70.95	84.75	100.63	119.18	141.22	168.62	208.76	293.55											
17	10.70	16.32	22.57	29.62	38.27	47.56	58.17	70.22	83.93	99.24	116.58	136.36	160.86	190.29	233.40	324.65										
18	10.84	16.34	22.55	29.78	38.12	47.36	58.23	69.98	83.48	97.92	114.90	134.01	155.25	180.80	213.30	258.86	356.56									
19	10.80	16.26	22.45	29.70	38.12	47.61	57.89	69.94	82.92	97.22	113.71	131.85	151.94	175.43	203.62	237.33	287.13	391.26								
20	10.96	16.39	22.50	29.77	37.90	47.36	57.85	69.29	82.59	96.61	112.66	130.22	149.55	171.88	196.86	226.56	263.18	316.32	425.99							
25	10.93	16.34	22.42	29.81	37.73	47.05	57.26	68.73	81.31	94.94	110.04	126.47	143.68	162.85	183.51	206.29	230.81	257.61	287.90	322.48	362.15	410.97	480.45	623.07		
50	10.77	16.26	22.46	29.55	37.80	46.84	57.10	68.24	80.37	93.49	107.76	122.89	139.37	156.47	175.47	194.67	215.04	236.81	259.79	283.63	308.64	335.75	362.94	391.64		
75	10.82	16.29	22.49	29.49	37.64	46.85	56.99	67.99	79.95	93.24	107.81	122.35	138.65	155.16	174.13	193.31	213.63	234.95	257.40	280.43	305.25	330.78	357.28	385.41		
100	10.81	16.30	22.59	29.51	37.59	46.80	57.05	68.15	79.99	92.97	107.12	122.64	138.78	155.89	174.01	193.07	213.37	234.23	256.65	280.42	304.46	329.52	356.54	383.24		
125	10.80	16.24	22.39	29.48	37.77	46.72	56.99	67.96	80.01	93.32	107.29	122.28	138.85	155.88	173.64	192.60	213.03	234.20	256.17	279.66	303.77	329.45	354.77	382.87		
150	10.90	16.32	22.47	29.82	37.62	46.77	56.73	68.08	80.11	93.46	107.31	122.55	138.61	155.59	173.92	192.99	212.93	233.77	255.92	279.84	304.01	328.99	354.72	382.01		
175	10.73	16.24	22.45	29.53	37.72	46.60	56.99	68.15	79.93	93.15	107.53	122.41	138.17	155.45	173.50	192.94	213.13	234.28	256.31	279.88	303.24	328.87	354.55	381.96		
200	10.87	16.31	22.50	29.44	37.62	46.74	56.95	68.10	80.07	93.10	107.17	122.54	138.05	155.71	173.60	192.86	212.61	234.26	256.16	279.26	303.80	328.24	354.74	382.02		
∞	10.83	16.27	22.46	29.59	37.70	46.80	56.89	67.99	80.08	93.17	107.26	122.35	138.44	155.53	173.62	192.71	212.80	233.89	255.98	279.07	303.16	328.25	354.34	381.43		

References

- [1] L.J. Bain and M. Engelhardt. *Introduction to Probability and Mathematical Statistics*. PWS-Kent Publishing Company, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, second edition, 1992.
- [2] P. Bandettini, A. Jesmanowicz, Eric Wong, and James Hyde. Processing strategies for time-course data sets in functional MRI of the human brain. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 30, 1993.
- [3] B.B. Biswal, F.Z. Yetkin, V.M. Haughton, and J.S. Hyde. Functional connectivity in the motor cortex of resting human brain using echo-planar MRI. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 34:537–541, 1995.
- [4] R.W. Cox, A. Jesmanowicz, and J.S. Hyde. Real-time functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 33, 1995.
- [5] R.V. Hogg and A.T. Craig. *Introduction to Mathematical Statistics*. Macmillan, New York, NY, USA, fourth edition, 1978.
- [6] J.S. Hyde and B.B. Biswal. Functionally related correlation in the noise. In C.T.W. Moonen and P.A. Bandettini, editors, *Functional MRI*, chapter 24, pages 263–275. Springer, 1999.
- [7] S.J. Li, Z. Li, G. Wu, M.J. Zhang, M. Franczak, and P.G. Antuono. Alzheimer disease: Evaluation of a functional MR imaging index as a marker. *Radiology*, 255:253–259, 2002.
- [8] A.M. Mathai and R.S. Katiyar. Exact percentage points for testing independence. *Biometrika*, 66:353–356, 1979.
- [9] R.H. Myers. *Classical and Modern Regression with Applications*. Duxbury, Pacific Grove, CA, USA, 1990.
- [10] D.B. Rowe. *Multivariate Bayesian Statistics*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2002.
- [11] D.B. Rowe and S.W. Morgan. Computing FMRI activations: Coefficients and t-statistics by detrending and multiple regression. Technical Report 39, Division of Biostatistics, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA, June 2002.
- [12] B.D. Ward. Deconvolution analysis of FMRI time series data. AFNI 3dDeconvolve Documentation, Medical College of Wisconsin, July 2000.