MEDICAL COLLEGE OF WISCONSIN OFFICE OF THE OMBUDS

Annual Report to Faculty and Staff

January 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013

Peter M. Layde, MD, MSc
Ombuds

Jenny Her
Assistant to the Ombuds

Curative Building, Room 2512
1000 N. 92nd Street
Milwaukee, WI 53226

MEDICAL COLLEGE OF WISCONSIN

414-266-8776 (confidential line)
ombuds@mcw.edu
www.mcw.edu/ombuds

Message from the Ombuds

It is a pleasure to share this second *Annual Report* from the MCW Office of the Ombuds with MCW faculty and staff. Providing feedback on the variety of issues and concerns raised by visitors to the Ombuds Office should help potential visitors recognize the diverse issues that members of the MCW community bring to this confidential resource. The opportunity to serve members of the MCW community and to discuss their concerns or issues confidentially is a great privilege. We welcome comments and suggestions for improving these annual reports, as well as how we can make the services of the Ombuds Office as useful as possible to MCW faculty and staff.

Sincerely,

Peter M. Layde, MD, MSc
Ombuds

Jenny Her
Assistant to the Ombuds

February 24, 2014

stablished in the fall of 2011 by Dr. John R. Raymond, Sr., President and CEO of the Medical College of Wisconsin, the Ombuds Office is a resource for faculty and staff who wish to discuss concerns, conflicts or grievances confidentially. This second *Annual Report of the Ombuds Office* to the faculty and staff of the Medical College provides data on the volume and characteristics of the visitors who have utilized the Ombuds Office, as well as detailed information on the types of issues they have raised—which are categorized according to the International Ombudsman Association's standard reporting practices. This report also describes systemic issues and patterns which were shared by multiple visitors to the Ombuds Office in 2013.

Our Core Principles

We are confidential
We will not identify you or discuss your concerns with anyone without your permission. The only exceptions to this pledge of confidentiality are when the Ombuds determines that there is an imminent threat of harm or if the Ombuds is legally compelled to report the situation.

We are independent
We are independent of central administrative offices and are not aligned with any campus department or group.

We are informal
Any communication with us is "off the record" and does not put the Medical College on formal notice.

We are neutral
We do not take sides. We consider the rights and interests of all parties. We are advocates for good communication and fair process.

The Ombuds Office DOES:

- Listen and discuss workplace questions, concerns and complaints
- Offer a **SAFE** place to discuss your concerns
- Informally investigate complaints
- Explain Medical College of Wisconsin policies and procedures
- Facilitate communication between people
- Advise individuals about steps to resolve problems informally
- Assist with problems that have not been resolved by other offices
- Make appropriate referrals when informal options don't work

The Ombuds Office DOES NOT:

- Participate in formal grievance processes
- Conduct formal investigations
- Make administrative decisions for the Medical College
- Determine "guilt" or "innocence" of those accused of wrong-doing
- Assign sanctions to individuals
- Serve as witness in administrative or legal proceedings, unless compelled to do so
- Receive official "notice" for the Medical College
- Maintain records that identify visitors to the office

Consulting the Ombuds

Individual MCW faculty or staff members or group of employees wishing to consult the Ombuds typically contact the Office by email (ombuds@mcw.edu) or confidential telephone line (414-266-8776) to schedule an in-person visit to the Ombuds Office. On occasion – particularly for fairly straightforward factual questions – a phone consultation with the Ombuds can be arranged. Visitors to the Ombuds Office usually raise one or more issues or concerns – some of which can be resolved fairly quickly during a single session. Often, visitors raise more complicated issues which will prompt the Ombuds to seek additional information while also protecting the confidentiality of the individual or group. Before others are contacted during this informal "fact-finding," the Ombuds and visitor always agree upon exactly what information will be discussed and with whom. For example, determining how a specific policy is interpreted might require contact with Human Resources, Faculty Affairs, General Counsel or Corporate Compliance. Accordingly, the Ombuds and visitor would agree at the initial session who would be consulted and whether information such as the department or name of the individual would be shared. In most cases, these types of inquiries would not require any reference to the individual or his/her department – so it is relatively straightforward to preserve anonymity.

Ombuds offices at institutions across the country utilize varying methods to report activities. These may include the total number of visitors to a particular office, the number of groups of visitors to the office, or the total number of individuals with whom the office has had contact (including both visitors to the office and individuals contacted to seek additional information or guidance). The International Ombudsman Association (IOA) (http://www.ombudsassociation.org/) recommends tracking and reporting the number of issues discussed with the Ombuds rather than the number of visitors, groups of visitors or total individuals contacted, citing greater reliability in categorizing and reporting issues. To that end, this annual report provides a detailed tally of the issues discussed with the MCW Ombuds Office according to the recommended reporting categories of the IOA.

From January 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013, the Ombuds Office logged 132 initial visits by single individuals or groups of individuals (note that repeat visits by individuals/groups for the same issues were not counted in the number reported above, nor are the individuals or offices consulted by the Ombuds on behalf of visitors to the Office). Of these visits, 45.5% were by faculty, 51.5% were by staff, and 3% were by "other" or unknown. Visitors/visitor groups to the Ombuds worked in MCW clinical departments (42%), centers/institutes (16.1%), administrative units (16.1%) and basic science departments (16.1%). In addition, 9.7% worked in "other" or unknown departments. The visits noted above also included 15 exit interviews with faculty who had recently departed MCW (exit interviews were added to the purview of the Ombuds Office in July 2012, and are offered to faculty who are retiring, have elected to move to a new medical school for career advancement or personal reasons, or have not had their MCW contracts extended).

The issues and/or concerns raised by visitors to the MCW Ombuds Office are detailed in the *Appendix*. The most common reasons people visited the Ombuds Office related to concerns about their "evaluative relationships" – that is, relationships with either supervisors or supervisees. The second most common category of issues concerned "career progression and development," which included issues regarding employee advancement and career progression, as well as termination, nonrenewal and resignation. Matters related to "peer and colleague relationships" (including conflicts with coworkers) were the third most frequent category. Other categories accounting for more than 5% of visits to the Ombuds Office were "compensation and benefits" and "legal, regulatory, financial and compliance." Most visitors to the Ombuds Office report having benefitted from our services.

Among the roles of the Ombuds Office is to identify patterns, trends, or systemic issues that should be brought to the attention of college leadership. These are issues of broader concern – raised by multiple visitors on multiple occasions – which could influence the MCW environment for faculty or staff. *Table 1* on page 6 provides a brief description of these systemic issues which have been, or will be, addressed with MCW leadership. In addition to the issues noted in *Table 1*, I have again discussed a number of department-specific issues with MCW leadership.

Table 1

Systemic Issues, Concerns, and Suggestions Identified by Visitors to the MCW Ombuds Office

January 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013

Finance-related

* Research-intensive faculty perceive a decreasing priority of research at MCW

* Staff and faculty in some departments have been terminated due to budget constraints/priorities, which has lowered morale in affected departments

* Departmental administrative staff have concerns about the timing and extent of communication about changes in the FY2014 budget process

* Longstanding faculty have experienced sizable salary cuts they consider unjust in light of their long service

* Faculty with clinical duties believe their clinical productivity is valued more than their work related to other missions of MCW

* Employees express concern about increased co-pays, deductibles and co-insurance expenses for the health care plan

Change Management

* Middle managers perceive that they have increased responsibility and decreased authority due to growing centralization of control

* Departments vary widely in extent of communication among faculty and staff about MCW and department issues and changes

Training and Career Development

* Faculty and staff have concerns about the lack of training and experience in leadership and administration of some chairs and section chiefs

* Faculty and staff do not see the connection of the EMERGE initiative with their personal career development

Civility and Respect

* Residents, fellows and post-docs perceive they are bullied by some faculty

Suggestions from Exit Interviews

* Retiring faculty suggest that all departments undergo internal and external reviews every 5 years

* Faculty and staff with longstanding relationships with MCW wish for a Retiree's Group for fellowship, to stay connected to MCW and, potentially, to facilitate group purchase of health insurance to reduce rates

* Retiring faculty and staff desire a more formal "out-processing" process, including a checklist of what they need to do regarding Medicare, Social Security, MCW computer account, etc.

* Staff distrust of Human Resources is widespread, at least in part due to a lack of understanding of the role of Human Resources

General

* Department administrators regret the lack of interdepartmental collaboration among administrative staff

* Employees fear retaliation from their supervisors if they consult Human Resources

* Morale varies widely among departments

Figure 1
Issues/Concerns Raised by Ombuds Visitors
(By Category)

Pie chart with the following categories and percentages:

- Compensation and Benefits — 5%
- Evaluative Relationships — 53%
- Peer and Colleague Relationships — 10%
- Career Progression and Development — 17%
- Legal, Regulatory, Financial and Compliance — 5%
- Safety, Health and Physical Environment — 2%
- Services/Administrative Issues — 1%, 2%, 5%, 2%

*Issues/concerns discussed were tallied according to the recommended reporting categories of the International Ombudsman Association (IOA) (www.ombudsassociation.org)

Location and Directions
to the Ombuds Office

Curative Care Network, Room 2512
1000 N. 92nd Street
Milwaukee, WI 53226

The Ombuds Office is located on the second floor of Curative Care Network.

We recommend that you park in the West Visitor Parking Lot and enter the building via the West (Main) Entrance.

- Take the right set of elevators to the second floor and turn left upon exiting
- Turn right, past the Injury Research Center, then turn left and proceed down the hallway
- The Ombuds Office (room 2512) is on your right

Map
Directions

Appendix
INTERNATIONAL OMBUDSMAN ASSOCIATION
Reporting Categories

MCW Ombuds Office Annual Report: January 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013
Issues, Questions, Concerns, or Inquiries Addressed with Ombuds

Category	Number	Percent
1 Compensation & Benefits Questions, concerns, issues or inquiries about the equity, appropriateness and competitiveness of employee compensation, benefits and other benefit programs.		
Sub-total	**36**	**5.4%**
1.a *Compensation (rate of pay, salary amount, job salary classification/level)*	14	39%
1.b *Payroll (administration of pay, check wrong or delayed)*	0	0%
1.c *Benefits (decisions related to medical, dental, life, vacation/sick leave, education, worker's compensation insurance, etc.)*	9	25%
1.d *Retirement, Pension (eligibility, calculation of amount, retirement pension benefits)*	6	17%
1.e *Other (any other employee compensation or benefit not described by the above categories)*	7	19%
2 Evaluative Relationships Questions, concerns, issues or inquiries arising between people in evaluative relationships (i.e. supervisor-employee, faculty-student.)		
Sub-total	**353**	**53.0%**
2.a *Priorities, Values, Beliefs (differences about what should be considered important - or most important –often rooted in ethical or moral beliefs)*	23	7%
2.b *Respect, Treatment (demonstrations of inappropriate behavior, disregard for people, rudeness, crudeness, etc.*	39	11%
2.c *Trust, Integrity (suspicion that others are not being honest, whether or to what extent one wishes to be honest, etc.)*	13	4%
2.d *Reputation (possible impact of rumors and/or gossip about professional or personal matters)*	15	4%
2.e *Communication (quality and/or quantity of communication)*	31	9%
2.f *Bullying, Mobbing (abusive, threatening, and/or coercive behaviors)*	24	7%
2.g *Diversity-Related (comments or behaviors perceived to be insensitive, offensive, or intolerant on the basis of an identity-related difference such as race, gender, nationality, sexual orientation)*	16	5%
2.h *Retaliation (punitive behaviors for previous actions or comments, whistleblower)*	7	2%
2.i *Physical Violence (actual or threats of bodily harm to another)*	0	0%
2.j *Assignments, Schedules (appropriateness or fairness of tasks, expected volume of work)*	19	5%
2.k *Feedback (feedback or recognition given, or responses to feedback received)*	12	3%

2.l	**Consultation** *(requests for help in dealing with issues between two or more individuals they supervise/teach or with other unusual situations in evaluative relationships)*	14	4%
2.m	**Performance Appraisal/Grading** *(job/academic performance in formal or informal evaluation)*	15	4%
2.n	**Departmental Climate** *(prevailing behaviors, norms, or attitudes within a department for which supervisors or faculty have responsibility)*	54	15%
2.o	**Supervisory Effectiveness** *(management of department or classroom, failure to address issues)*	39	11%
2.p	**Insubordination** *(refusal to do what is asked)*	1	0%
2.q	**Discipline** *(appropriateness, timeliness, requirements, alternatives, or options for responding)*	7	2%
2.r	**Equity of Treatment** *(favoritism, one or more individuals receive preferential treatment)*	21	6%
2.s	**Other** *(any other evaluative relationship not described by the above categories*	3	1%

3 Peer and Colleague Relationships Questions, concerns, issues or inquiries involving peers or colleagues who do not have a supervisory-employee or student-professor relationship (e.g., two staff members within the same department or conflict involving members of a student organization).

		Sub-total	**68**	**10.2%**
3.a	**Priorities, Values, Beliefs** *(differences about what should be considered important - or most important –often rooted in ethical or moral beliefs)*		10	15%
3.b	**Respect, Treatment** *(demonstrations of inappropriate regard for people, not listening, rudeness, crudeness, etc.*		10	15%
3.c	**Trust, Integrity** *(suspicion that others are not being honest, whether or to what extent one wishes to be honest, etc.)*		4	6%
3.d	**Reputation** *(possible impact of rumors and/or gossip about professional or personal matters)*		8	12%
3.e	**Communication** *(quality and/or quantity of communication)*		9	13%
3.f	**Bullying, Mobbing** *(abusive, threatening, and/or coercive behaviors)*		8	12%
3.g	**Diversity-Related** *(comments or behaviors perceived to be insensitive, offensive, or intolerant on the basis of an identity-related difference such as race, gender, nationality, sexual orientation)*		4	6%
3.h	**Retaliation** *(punitive behaviors for previous actions or comments, whistleblower)*		5	7%
3.i	**Physical Violence** *(actual or threats of bodily harm to another)*		0	0%
3.j	**Other** *(any peer or colleague relationship not described by the above categories)*		10	15%

4 Career Progression and Development Questions, concerns, issues or inquiries about administrative processes and decisions regarding entering and leaving a job, what it entails, (i.e., recruitment, nature and place of assignment, job security, and separation.)

	Sub-total	**113**	**17.0%**

4.a	**Job Application, Selection and Recruitment Processes** (recruitment and selection processes, facilitation of job applications, short-listing and criteria for selection, disputed decisions linked to recruitment and selection)	2	2%
4.b	**Job Classification and Description** (changes or disagreements over requirements of assignment, appropriate tasks)	7	6%
4.c	**Involuntary Transfer, Change of Assignment** (notice, selection and special dislocation rights/benefits, removal from prior duties, unrequested change of work tasks)	3	3%
4.d	**Tenure-Position Security, Ambiguity** (security of position or contract, provision of secure contractual categories), Career Progression (Promotion, Reappointment, or Tenure)	12	11%
4.e	**Career Progression** *(promotion, reappointment, or tenure)*	10	9%
4.f	**Rotation and Duration of Assignment** (non-completion or over-extension of assignments in specific settings/countries, lack of access or involuntary transfer to specific roles/assignments, requests for transfer to other places/duties/roles)	3	3%
4.g	**Resignation** (concerns about whether or how to voluntarily terminate employment or how such a decision might be communicated appropriately)	24	21%
4.h	**Termination/Non-Renewal** (end of contract, non-renewal of contract, disputed permanent separation from organization)	19	17%
4.i	**Re-employment of Former or Retired Staff** (loss of competitive advantages associated with re-hiring retired staff, favoritism)	2	2%
4.j	**Position Elimination** *(elimination or abolition of an individual's position)*	5	4%
4.k	**Career Development/Coaching/Mentoring** *(classroom, on-the-job, and varied assignments as training and developmental opportunities)*	21	19%
4.l	**Other** (any other issues linked to recruitment, assignment, job security or separation not described by the above categories)	5	4%

5	**Legal, Regulatory, Financial and Compliance** Questions, concerns, issues or inquiries that may create a legal risk (financial, sanction etc.) for the organization or its members if not addressed, including issues related to waste, fraud or abuse.		
	Sub-total	**36**	**5.4%**
5.a	**Criminal Activity** *(threats or crimes planned, observed, or experienced, fraud)*	4	11%
5.b	**Business and Financial Practices** *(inappropriate actions that abuse or waste organizational finances, facilities or equipment)*	3	8%
5.c	**Harassment** *(unwelcome physical, verbal, written, e-mail, audio, video, psychological or sexual conduct that creates a hostile or intimidating environment)*	2	6%
5.d	**Discrimination** *(different treatment compared with others or exclusion from some benefit on the basis of, for example, gender, race, age, national origin, religion, etc.[being part of an Equal Employment Opportunity protected category - applies in the U.S.])*	1	3%
5.e	**Disability, Temporary or Permanent, Reasonable Accommodation** *(extra time on exams, provision of assistive technology, interpreters, or Braille materials including questions on policies, etc. for people with disabilities)*	12	33%
5.f	**Accessibility** *(removal of physical barriers, providing ramps, elevators, etc.)*	0	0%

5.g	**Intellectual Property Rights** *(e.g., copyright and patent infringement)*	8	22%	
5.h	**Privacy and Security of Information** *(release or access to individual or organizational private or confidential information)*	1	3%	
5.i	**5.i. Property Damage** *(personal property damage, liabilities)*	0		
5.j	**Other** *(any other legal, financial and compliance issue not described by the above categories)*	5	14%	

6   **Safety, Health, and Physical Environment** Questions, concerns, issues or inquiries about Safety, Health and Infrastructure-related issues.

	Sub-total	**7**	**1.1%**	
6.a	**Safety** *(physical safety, injury, medical evacuation, meeting federal and state requirements for safety training and equipment)*	0	0%	
6.b	**Physical Working/Living Conditions** *(temperature, odors, noise, available space, lighting, etc)*	2	29%	
6.c	**Ergonomics** *(proper set-up of workstation affecting physical functioning)*	0	0%	
6.d	**Cleanliness** *(sanitary conditions and facilities to prevent the spread of disease)*	0	0%	
6.e	**Security** *(adequate lighting in parking lots, metal detectors, guards, limited access to building by outsiders, anti-terrorists measures (not for classifying "compromise of classified or top secret" information)*	0	0%	
6.f	**Telework, Flexplace** *(ability to work from home or other location because of business or personal need, e.g., in case of man-made or natural emergency)*	0	0%	
6.g	**Safety Equipment** *(access to/use of safety equipment as well as access to or use of safety equipment, e.g., fire extinguisher)*	0	0%	
6.h	**Environmental Policies** *(policies not being followed, being unfair ineffective, cumbersome)*	0	0%	
6.i	**Work Related Stress and Work-Life Balance** *(Post-Traumatic Stress, Critical Incident Response, internal/external stress, e.g. divorce, shooting, caring for sick, injured)*	4	57%	
6.j	**Other** *(any safety, health, or physical environment issue not described by the above categories)*	1	14%	

7   **Services/Administrative Issues** Questions, concerns, issues or inquiries about services or administrative offices including from external parties.

	Sub-total	**10**	**1.5%**	
7.a	**Quality of Services** *(how well services were provided, accuracy or thoroughness of information, competence, etc.)*	4	40%	
7.b	**Responsiveness, Timeliness** *(time involved in getting a response or return call or about the time for a complete response to be provided)*	1	10%	
7.c	**Administrative Decisions and Interpretation, Application of Rules** *(decisions about requests for academic or administrative services, e.g., exceptions to policy deadlines or limits, refund requests, appeals of library or parking fines, application for financial aid, etc.)*	4	40%	

7.d	**Behavior of Service Provider(s)** *(how an administrator or staff member spoke to or dealt with a constituent, customer, or client, eg., rude, inattentive, or impatient)*	1	10%
7.e	**Other** *(any services or administrative issue not described by the above categories)*	0	0%

8 **<u>Organizational, Strategic, and Mission Related</u>** Questions, concerns, issues or inquiries that relate to the whole or some part of an organization.

	Sub-total	**32**	**4.8%**
8.a	**Strategic and Mission-Related, Strategic and Technical Management** *(principles, decisions and actions related to where and how the organization is moving)*	2	6%
8.b	**Leadership and Management** *(quality/capacity of management and/or management/leadership decisions, suggested training, reassignments and reorganizations)*	5	16%
8.c	**Use of Positional Power, Authority** *(lack or abuse of power provided by individual's position)*	2	6%
8.d	**Communication** *(content, style, timing, effects and amount of organizational and leader's communication, quality of communication about strategic issues)*	3	9%
8.e	**Restructuring and Relocation** *(issues related to broad scope planned or actual restructuring and/or relocation affecting the whole or major divisions of an organization, eg. downsizing, offshoring, outsourcing)*	1	3%
8.f	**Organizational Climate** *(issues related to organizational morale and/or capacity for functioning)*	5	16%
8.g	**Change Management** *(making, responding or adapting to organizational changes, quality of leadership in facilitating organizational change)*	7	22%
8.h	**Priority Setting and/or Funding** *(disputes about setting organizational/departmental priorities and/or allocation of funding within programs)*	3	9%
8.i	**Data, Methodology, Interpretation of Results** *(scientific disputes about the conduct, outcomes and interpretation of studies and resulting data for policy)*	0	0%
8.j	**Interdepartment, Interorganization Work, Territory** *(disputes about which department/organization should be doing what/taking the lead)*	4	13%
8.k	**Other** *(any organizational issue not described by the above categories)*	0	0%

9 **<u>Values, Ethics, and Standards</u>** Questions, concerns, issues or inquiries about the fairness of organizational values, ethics, and/or standards, the application of related policies and/or procedures, or the need for creation or revision of policies, and/or standards.

	Sub-total	**11**	**1.7%**
9.a	**Standards of Conduct** *(fairness, applicability or lack of behavioral guidelines and/or Codes of Conduct, e.g., Academic Honesty, plagiarism, Code of Conduct, conflict of interest)*	2	18%
9.b	**Values and Culture** *(questions, concerns or issues about the values or culture of the organization)*	3	27%

9.c	**Scientific Conduct, Integrity** *(scientific or research misconduct or misdemeanors, e.g., authorship; falsification of results)*	5	45%
9.d	**Policies and Procedures NOT Covered in Broad Categories 1 thru 8** *(fairness or lack of policy or the application of the policy, policy not followed, or needs revision, eg., appropriate dress, use of internet or cell phones)*	1	
9.e	**Other** *(Other policy, procedure, ethics or standards issues not described in the above categories)*	0	0%
	TOTAL	**666**	