

MINDFULNESS APPLICATION EVALUATION FORM

Reviewer:	School Applying:	Date:
Rating Scale		
0 – Not Demonstrated : Qu	uestion left blank or did not answer the question that was asked	l; provided irrelevant information or
the answer is completely in		
	nd insufficient descriptions provided that did not answer the quo key point or was not comprehensive; answer is unclear.	estion; may have answered part of
	ed, but optimal answer or plan not clearly demonstrated; answe	er is acceptable, but lacks some details
and specific examples.		• •
3 – Good: The applicant ha	as a comprehensive answer with significant description, relevant	t details, and clear examples; answer
better than average, but no	ot superb.	
4 – Excellent: Question ans	swered with thorough details and examples; demonstrates a str	rong understanding of the topic;
answer is superb.		
Application Part 1: Re	eadiness	
Applicant explained w	why mindfulness-based strategies will be helpful to the	his school (with data to
support answer if ava		·
☐ Not demonstra		
Poor (1 point)	(o points)	
Fair (2 points)		
Good (3 points))	
Excellent (4 poi	ints)	
Annlicant explained w	why this school is the right for this opportunity and ac	ddressed how they know it is
		duressed flow they know it is
	and that staff and students are ready. (Question 2)	
Not demonstra	ited (0 points)	
Poor (1 point) Fair (2 points)		
Good (3 points)	1	
Excellent (4 poi	•	
Applicant described th	heir expectations for this opportunity. (Question 3)	
☐ Not demonstra	ated (0 points)	
Poor (1 point)	(-	
Fair (2 points)		
Good (3 points))	
Excellent (4 poi	ints)	
Applicant described ac	dequate space for mindfulness training and ongoing	practice. (Question 4)
Not demonstra		[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []
Poor (1 point)	nea (a points)	
Fair (2 points)		
Good (3 points))	
Excellent (4 poi		

Page **1** of **4**

This project is funded by the Advancing a Healthier Wisconsin Endowment at the Medical College of Wisconsin.



(note to reviewer: points not assigned but may weigh into discussion of applications)
Yes
□ No
Applicant described how staff participation in mindfulness training and practice will be encouraged;
incentive example(s) provided. (Question 6)
Not demonstrated (0 points)
Poor (1 point)
Fair (2 points)
Good (3 points)
Excellent (4 points)
Comments for this section:
Subtotal (add all points for this section):
Application Part 2: Postor
Application Part 2: Roster
Number of staff identified an vestor from each grade level
Number of staff identified on roster from each grade level.
Number of staff identified on roster from each grade level. (note to reviewer: points not assigned but will be a part of discussion of applications)
(note to reviewer: points not assigned but will be a part of discussion of applications)
(note to reviewer: points not assigned but will be a part of discussion of applications) Elementary:
(note to reviewer: points not assigned but will be a part of discussion of applications) Elementary: Middle: High:
(note to reviewer: points not assigned but will be a part of discussion of applications) Elementary: Middle:
(note to reviewer: points not assigned but will be a part of discussion of applications) Elementary: Middle: High: Total:
(note to reviewer: points not assigned but will be a part of discussion of applications) Elementary: Middle: High: Total: Champion identified
(note to reviewer: points not assigned but will be a part of discussion of applications) Elementary: Middle: High: Total: Champion identified Yes (3 points)
(note to reviewer: points not assigned but will be a part of discussion of applications) Elementary: Middle: High: Total: Champion identified
(note to reviewer: points not assigned but will be a part of discussion of applications) Elementary: Middle: High: Total: Champion identified Yes (3 points) No (0 points)
(note to reviewer: points not assigned but will be a part of discussion of applications) Elementary: Middle: High: Total: Champion identified Yes (3 points)
(note to reviewer: points not assigned but will be a part of discussion of applications) Elementary: Middle: High: Total: Champion identified Yes (3 points) No (0 points)
(note to reviewer: points not assigned but will be a part of discussion of applications) Elementary: Middle: High: Total: Champion identified Yes (3 points) No (0 points)
(note to reviewer: points not assigned but will be a part of discussion of applications) Elementary: Middle: High: Total: Champion identified Yes (3 points) No (0 points)
(note to reviewer: points not assigned but will be a part of discussion of applications) Elementary: Middle: High: Total: Champion identified Yes (3 points) No (0 points) Comments for this section:



Application Part 3: Letter of Support

Letter describes how this mindfulness initiative aligns with the school's strategic plan and/or other
school initiatives. (Question 1) Not demonstrated (0 points)
Poor (1 point) Fair (2 points)
Good (3 points) Excellent (4 points)
Letter describes how support will be given to staff to support mindfulness in the school. (Question 2)
Not demonstrated (0 points)□ Poor (1 point)
☐ Fair (2 points) ☐ Good (3 points)
Excellent (4 points)
Letter provides examples to demonstrate why the champion is the best match for the position. Letter
describes how time will be provided for the champion to complete the duties. (Question 3) Not demonstrated (0 points)
Poor (1 point)
Fair (2 points) Good (3 points)
Excellent (4 points)
Letter describes how this aligns with teacher effectiveness or teacher professional development.
(Question 4)
Not demonstrated (0 points)□ Poor (1 point)
Fair (2 points)
Good (3 points)
Excellent (4 points)
Comments for this section:
Subtotal (add all points for this section):



Summary		
Total Points for all sections:		
Application Key Strengths & Weakness:		
Other Special Considerations:		