

Cardiovascular Center

CVC Postdoctoral Fell	owship in Cardiovas	scular Sciences:	Candidate Eva	aluation Form					
Candidate's Name:									
Reviewer's Name:									
Check all that apply: Read candidate's Read candidate's Read candidate's Attended candida	letters of recommer application (include		out research pla	an and interes	its)				
Please rate the cand	Please rate the candidate on each of the following:					Good	Fair	Poor	Unable to Judge
(Potential for) scholarly impact									
(Potential for) research productivity									
(Potential for) research funding									
(Potential for) collaboration/teamwork									
(Potential to) mentor staff/other trainees									
(Potential to) be a leader									
Fit with CVC/Mentor/Signature Program's priorities									
Potential to make positive contribution to the CVC									
Past, current, or future plans for contributions to diversity									
Research Qualifications	Future Directions	Research Synergy	Leadership/ Teamwork	Diversity		Total		Notes	
(0-10)	(0-10)	(0-3)	(0-3)	(0-4)		(0-30)			
Evaluate past research accomplishments. (publication record—emphasize quality not number or journal, impact/novelty of research, presentations, grants/fellowship, etc.)	Evaluate their research plan. Potential for sustained impact? Creative, doable, exciting? Longterm and shorterm vision? Also consider info from letters of recommendation.	How well does the proposed research mesh with current research in the CVC/mentor/Signature Program? Synergy can come from techniques, systems, etc.	Evidence of leadership & teamwork?	To what extent would the applicant contribute to and enhance the diversity of the CVC?					

(continued on next page)

Candidate's Name:					
Reviewer's Name:					
Please comment on the candidate's scholarship as reflected in their oral presentation:					
Other Comments:					
Reviewer MUST fill out this section.					
Recommend for Position					
Not Recommended for Position \square					
Recommend Applicant Re-Apply for Next Funding Cycle					
Reason (s):					
☐ Did not meet minimum qualifications					
☐ Lack of preferred experience					
☐ Lack of preferred work skills					
☐ More qualified candidate selected					